arXiv:1111.4109v1 [math.AG] 17 Nov 2011

KAROUBI'S RELATIVE CHERN CHARACTER, THE RIGID SYNTOMIC REGULATOR, AND THE BLOCH-KATO EXPONENTIAL MAP

GEORG TAMME

Abstract. We construct a variant of Karoubi's relative Chern character for smooth, separated schemes over the ring of integers in a p-adic field and prove a comparison with the rigid syntomic regulator. For smooth projective schemes we further relate the relative Chern character to the étale p-adic regulator via the Bloch-Kato exponential map. This reproves a result of Huber and Kings for the spectrum of the ring of integers and generalizes it to all smooth projective schemes as above.

Contents

Introduction 1
1. Preliminaries on rigid geometry 4
2. Preliminaries on K-theory 5
3. Preliminaries on functorial complexes 7
4. Construction of the relative Chern character 10
5. Comparison with the rigid syntomic regulator 16
5.1. Rigid cohomology 16
5.2. Rigid syntomic cohomology 17
5.3. The comparison 18
5.4. Applications 24
6. Comparison with Karoubi's original construction 26
References 31

Introduction

If A is a Banach algebra one can view it as an abstract ring and consider its algebraic K-theory or one can take the topology into account and then consider its topological K-theory. There is a natural map from the former to the latter and so one can form the homotopy fibre of this map giving the *relative* K-theory. Karoubi's relative Chern character [30, 31, 15] is a homomorphism

$$K_i^{\mathrm{rel}}(A) \to HC_{i-1}(A)$$

mapping relative K-theory to continuous cyclic homology. It was an idea of Karoubi [29, 30] that the relative Chern character could be used for the construction of regulators. In accordance with this idea Hamida [23] established, for $A = \mathbf{C}$ the

---

Date: November 18, 2011.

field of complex numbers, a precise relation between the relative Chern character and the Borel regulator [9]

$$K_{2n-1}(\mathbf{C}) \to \mathbf{C}.$$

Karoubi's construction works equally well in the case of ultrametric Banach algebras and building on previous work by Hamida [24] we proved in [39] the p-adic analogue of the above result giving the precise relation of the relative Chern character with the p-adic Borel regulator introduced by Huber and Kings [27].

Changing perspective, let X be a smooth variety over $\mathbf{C}$. Again we have the algebraic K-theory of X but we can also consider the topological K-theory of the complex manifold associated to X. It is natural to ask for a generalization of the previous results to this situation. Here the analogue of the cyclic homology groups are the quotients of the de Rham cohomology by the Hodge filtration and Borel's regulator is replaced by Beilinson's regulator mapping algebraic K-theory to Deligne-Beilinson cohomology. In this setup we proved a comparison between the relative Chern character and Beilinson's regulator in [41].

It is the goal of the present paper to prove the p-adic analogue of this result. So let R be a complete discrete valuation ring with field of fractions K of characteristic 0 with finite residue field of characteristic p and consider a smooth R-scheme X. The p-adic analogue of Beilinson's regulator is the rigid syntomic regulator introduced by Gros [20] and developed systematically by Besser [7]. We introduce topological and hence relative K-theory of X and relative cohomology groups $H^*_{\mathrm{rel}}(X,n)$ mapping naturally to the rigid syntomic cohomology groups. These are the target of the relative Chern character in this situation. Our main result is

Theorem. *Let X be a smooth R-scheme and $i>0$. The diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_i^{\mathrm{rel}}(X) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{ch}^{\mathrm{rel}}_{n,i}"'] & K_i(X) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{ch}^{\mathrm{syn}}_{n,i}"] \\
H^{2n-i-1}_{\mathrm{rel}}(X,n) \arrow[r] & H^{2n-i}_{\mathrm{syn}}(X,n)
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes.

If X is proper the lower horizontal map is in fact an isomorphism and both groups are given by $H^{2n-i-1}_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_K/K)/F^nH^{2n-i-1}_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_K/K)$ as in the classical case. Moreover, for X projective, Parshin's conjecture would imply that also the upper horizontal map is rationally an isomorphism.

One of the possible advantages of this approach to the syntomic regulator is that Karoubi's constructions give quite explicit formulas. For instance, in the case $X = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$, these have been used in the comparison of Karoubi's regulator with the p-adic Borel regulator [39] and in computer calculations by Choo and Snaith [14].

Let me indicate another possible application: One can also define topological and hence relative K-theory of K-schemes using the associated rigid space and the techniques of this paper give a relative Chern character $K_i^{\mathrm{rel}}(X_K) \to H^{2n-i-1}_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_K/K)/F^n$. If X is a smooth and proper R-scheme there is a natural map $K_*^{\mathrm{rel}}(X) \to K_*^{\mathrm{rel}}(X_K)$ and the relative Chern character for X factors through the relative Chern character of X_K. Hence, assuming Parshin's conjecture, for X smooth and projective, the relative Chern character would give a description of the syntomic regulator for X solely in terms of the generic fibre X_K. It is expected that such a description exists as explained by Besser in his talk [8].

From the Theorem we also get the following corollary:

Corollary. *Assume that X/R is smooth and projective. Then*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_i^{\mathrm{rel}}(X) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{ch}^{\mathrm{rel}}_{n,i}"] & K_i(X) \arrow[d, "r_p"] \\
H^{2n-i-1}_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_K/K)/F^n \arrow[r, "\exp"] & H^1(K, H^{2n-i-1}_{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbf{Q}_p(n)))
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes.

Here r_p is the étale p-adic regulator and exp is the Bloch-Kato exponential map of the p-adic $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$-representation $H^{2n-i-1}_{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbf{Q}_p(n))$. This corollary may be seen as a generalization of the main result of Huber-Kings [27, Theorem 1.3.2] which is the case $X = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ and amounts to the commutativity of

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_{2n-1}(R) \arrow[r, "r_p"] \arrow[dr, "p\text{-adic Borel}"'] & H^1(K, \mathbf{Q}_p(n)) \\
& K = D_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathbf{Q}_p(n)) \arrow[u, "\exp"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

to all smooth, projective R-schemes (see our Corollary 5.25).

A result related to ours is proven by Chiarellotto, Ciccioni, and Mazzari in [12]. They provide an alternative construction of the rigid syntomic regulator in terms of higher Chow groups and syntomic cycle classes. A key step in their construction is the compatibility of the de Rham and rigid cycle classes under the specialization map from de Rham cohomology of the generic fibre to rigid cohomology of the special fibre, whereas our result in some sense rests on the compatibility of topological and rigid Chern classes.

Let us describe the contents of this paper in more detail. The key ingredient that enables us to compare the relative Chern character and the rigid syntomic regulator is a new description of the former one in Section 4 which is similar to the construction of Chern class maps on higher K-groups by Beilinson [3], Huber [26], and Besser [7]. This is made possible by the nice functorial complexes of Besser [7] and Chiarellotto-Ciccioni-Mazzari [12]. Their construction is recalled in Section 3 with some simplifications coming from the systematic use of Große-Klönne's dagger spaces [21, 22], the necessary background from rigid resp. "dagger" geometry is collected in Section 1. In Section 2 we recall Karoubi and Villamayor's definition of topological K-theory for ultrametric Banach rings [32, 11] and extend it to smooth, separated R-schemes. The main comparison theorem and its applications are proven in Section 5. Finally, in Section 6 we recall Karoubi's original construction of the relative Chern character and show that it is consistent with that of Section 4.

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank the California Institute of Technology where most of this work was done and especially Matthias Flach for their hospitality. Furthermore I would like to thank the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft for financial support.

Notation. For $p \in \mathbf{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ we denote by $[p]$ the finite set $\{0, \dots, p\}$ with its natural order. The category of finite ordered sets with monotone maps is the *simplicial category* Δ. The unique injective map $[p-1] \to [p]$ that does not hit i is denoted by ∂^i. Similarly, $s^i\colon [p+1] \to [p]$ is the unique surjective map such that $s^i(i) = s^i(i+1)$. These induce morphisms ∂_i, s_i (resp. ∂^i, s^i) on every (co)simplicial object called (co)face and (co)degeneracy morphisms, respectively.

For a group object G we define the simplicial objects $E_\bullet G$ and $B_\bullet G$ by $E_pG = G^{\times(p+1)}$ and $B_pG = G^{\times p}$ with faces and degeneracies as in [27, 0.2].

1. Preliminaries on rigid geometry

In the definition of rigid cohomology one usually works with rigid analytic spaces and their de Rham cohomology. However, as de Rham cohomology is not very well behaved for rigid spaces one has to introduce some overconvergence condition. An elegant approach to do this is to replace rigid spaces by Große-Klönne's dagger spaces [21, 22]. We recall some basic definitions and facts which will be needed in the rest of the paper.

Let R be a complete discrete valuation ring with field of fractions K of characteristic 0 and residue field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Fix an absolute value $|\,.\,|$ on K.

1.1 (Rings). The K-algebra of *overconvergent* power series in n variables $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is $K\langle\underline{x}\rangle^\dagger := \left\{ \sum a_\nu \underline{x}^\nu \middle| a_\nu \in K, \exists \rho > 1 : |a_\nu|\rho^{|\nu|} \xrightarrow{|\nu|\to\infty} 0 \right\}$. We denote by $R\langle\underline{x}\rangle^\dagger$ the sub-R-algebra of overconvergent power series with R-coefficients. A K- (resp. R-)*dagger algebra* is a quotient of some $K\langle\underline{x}\rangle^\dagger$ (resp. $R\langle\underline{x}\rangle^\dagger$).

The algebra of overconvergent power series carries the Gauß norm $|\sum_\nu a_\nu \underline{x}^\nu| = \sup_\nu |a_\nu|$. Its completion with respect to this norm is the Tate algebra of convergent power series $K\langle\underline{x}\rangle = \{\sum_\nu a_\nu x^\nu \big| |a_\nu| \xrightarrow{|\nu|\to\infty} 0\}$. Similarly, the completion of $R\langle x\rangle^\dagger$ is $R\langle x\rangle$. Quotients of these are called K- (resp. R-)*affinoid*. These are Banach algebras.

If $A = R[\underline{x}]/I$ is an R-algebra of finite type its *weak completion* is the R-dagger algebra $A^\dagger := R\langle\underline{x}\rangle^\dagger / IR\langle\underline{x}\rangle^\dagger$. Similarly, we have the weak completion of K-algebras of finite type.

The categories of R- resp. K-dagger and affinoid algebras admit tensor products. E.g. if $A = R\langle\underline{x}\rangle^\dagger/I$ and $B = R\langle\underline{y}\rangle^\dagger/J$ are R-dagger algebras their tensor product is given by $A \otimes_R^\dagger B = R\langle\underline{x}, \underline{y}\rangle^\dagger/(I + J)$.

1.2 (Spaces). We only sketch the main points here, referring the reader to [21, 22] for details. Similarly as one defines rigid analytic spaces that are locally isomorphic to max-spectra of K-affinoid algebras with a certain Grothendieck topology, *dagger spaces* are defined by taking the max-spectra $\mathrm{Sp}(A)$ of K-dagger algebras A as building blocks [22, 2.12]. For any dagger space X one has an associated rigid space X^{rig} and the natural map of G-ringed spaces $X^{\mathrm{rig}} \to X$ is an isomorphism on the underlying G-topological spaces ("completion of the structure sheaf") [22, 2.19].

There exists a *dagger analytification functor* $X \mapsto X^\dagger$ from the category of K-schemes of finite type to the category of K-dagger spaces. There is a natural morphism of locally G-ringed spaces $X^\dagger \xrightarrow{\iota} X$ which is final for morphisms from dagger spaces to X [22, 3.3].

We also need the notion of *weak formal schemes* ([21, Ch. 3] and originally [33]). Let A be an R-dagger algebra and $\overline{A} = A \otimes_R k$. Then $D(\overline{f}) \mapsto A\langle\frac{1}{f}\rangle^\dagger$ where

$f \in A$ lifts $\overline{f} \in \overline{A}$ defines a sheaf of local rings on the topological space $\operatorname{Spec}(\overline{A})$. The corresponding locally ringed space is the *weak formal R-scheme* $\operatorname{Spwf}(A)$. A general weak formal R-scheme is a locally ringed space that is locally isomorphic to some $\operatorname{Spwf}(A)$.

Sending $\operatorname{Spwf}(A)$ for an R-dagger algebra A to $\operatorname{Sp}(A \otimes_R K)$ induces the *generic fibre* functor $\mathscr{X} \mapsto \mathscr{X}_K$ from weak formal R-schemes to K-dagger spaces and there is a natural *specialization map* $\operatorname{sp}\colon \mathscr{X}_K \to \mathscr{X}$.

Taking the weak completion of finitely generated R-algebras induces the functor $X \mapsto \hat{X}$ from R-schemes of finite type to weak formal R-schemes. There is a natural morphism of dagger spaces from the generic fibre of the weak completion of X, $\hat{X}_K$, to the dagger analytification $X_K^\dagger$ of the generic fibre X_K of X. This is an open immersion if X is separated and an isomorphism if X is proper over R(cf. [5, Proposition 0.3.5]). For example, if $X = \mathbb{A}^1_R$ then $\hat{X}_K = \operatorname{Sp}(K\langle x\rangle^\dagger)$ is the closed ball of radius 1 in $(\mathbb{A}^1_K)^\dagger$.

2. Preliminaries on K-theory

2.1. Let A be an ultrametric Banach ring with norm $|\,.\,|$, e.g. an affinoid algebra. In [32] Karoubi and Villamayor introduce K-groups of A that we will denote by $K_{\mathrm{top}}^{-i}(A), i \geq 1$, which were further studied by Calvo [11]. A convenient way to define them is the following: Set

$$A\langle x_0, \dots, x_n\rangle := \left\{ \sum a_\nu \underline{x}^\nu \middle| a_\nu \in A, |a_\nu| \xrightarrow{|\nu| \to \infty} 0 \right\}$$

and $A_n := A\langle x_0, \dots, x_n\rangle / (\sum_i x_i - 1)$. Then $[n] \mapsto A_n$ becomes a simplicial ring in a natural way and hence $B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}(A_\bullet)$ is a bisimplicial set. Abusing notation, we denote its diagonal simplicial set by the same symbol. We define

$$K_{\mathrm{top}}^{-i}(A) := \pi_i(B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}(A_\bullet)), \quad i \geq 1.$$

That this definition coincides with the original one in [32, 11] follows from an argument of Anderson [2, Theorem 1.6] (cf. [40, Proposition 7.3]).

For our purposes it is important to know that one can compute the K-theory of affinoid algebras using dagger algebras. More precisely, let R be a complete discrete valuation ring with field of fractions K of characteristic 0 and residue field k of characteristic $p > 0$ as before. We define the simplicial ring $R_\bullet^\dagger$ by $R_n^\dagger = R\langle x_0, \dots, x_n\rangle^\dagger / (\sum_i x_i - 1)$ with the obvious structure maps. For any R-dagger algebra A we set $A_\bullet = A \otimes_R^\dagger R_\bullet^\dagger$ (cf. 1.1) and define topological K-groups by

$$K_{\mathrm{top}}^{-i}(A) := \pi_i(B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}(A_\bullet)), \quad i \geq 1.$$

Using Calvo's techniques we have shown in [40, Proposition 7.5] that these agree with the Karoubi-Villamayor K-groups of the completion $\hat{A}$ of A:

$$K_{\mathrm{top}}^{-i}(A) \cong K_{\mathrm{top}}^{-i}(\hat{A}), \quad i \geq 1.$$

Now let $X = \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ be an affine scheme of finite type over R.

Definition 2.2. We define the topological K-groups of X to be the K-groups of the (weak) completion of A:

$$K_{\mathrm{top}}^{-i}(X) := K_{\mathrm{top}}^{-i}(A^\dagger) = K_{\mathrm{top}}^{-i}(\hat{A}), \quad i \geq 1.$$

Let $\mathbf{Z}_\infty$ be Bousfield and Kan's integral completion functor [10]. Then the algebraic K-groups of X are given by $K_i(X) = \pi_i(\mathbf{Z}_\infty B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}(A))$, $i \geq 1$ (cf. [19, Theorem 2.16] Set $\mathscr{F}(A) := \mathbf{Z}_\infty B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}(A) \times_{\mathbf{Z}_\infty B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}(A_\bullet^\dagger)} \mathbf{Z}_\infty E_\bullet \operatorname{GL}(A_\bullet^\dagger)^1$ and define the relative K-groups of X as

$$K_i^{\mathrm{rel}}(X) := \pi_i \mathscr{F}(A), \quad i > 0. \tag{2.3}$$

Lemma 2.4. (i) *There are long exact sequences*

$$\cdots \to K_i^{\mathrm{rel}}(X) \to K_i(X) \to K_{\mathrm{top}}^{-i}(X) \to K_{i-1}^{\mathrm{rel}}(X) \to \dots$$

(ii) *Let* $F(A) := B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}(A) \times_{B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}(A_\bullet^\dagger)} E_\bullet \operatorname{GL}(A_\bullet^\dagger)$. *The natural map* $\mathbf{Z}F(A) \to \mathbf{Z}\mathscr{F}(A)$ *is a weak homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. (i) The projection $p\colon E_\bullet \operatorname{GL}(A_\bullet^\dagger) \to B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}(A_\bullet^\dagger)$ is a fibration of simplicial sets with contractible total space. This is preserved by the integral completion functor [10, I 4.2], hence $\mathscr{F}(A)$ is weakly homotopy equivalent to the homotopy fibre of the natural map $\mathbf{Z}_\infty B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}(A) \to \mathbf{Z}_\infty B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}(A_\bullet^\dagger)$. Since $B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}(A_\bullet^\dagger)$ has the homotopy type of an H-space, $B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}(A_\bullet^\dagger) \to \mathbf{Z}_\infty B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}(A_\bullet^\dagger)$ is a weak equivalence, too, and the claim follows (cf. [2, Theorem 2.2]).

(ii) By construction we have cartesian squares

$$\begin{tikzcd} F(A) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & E_\bullet \operatorname{GL}(A_\bullet^\dagger) \arrow[d, "p"] \\ B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}(A) \arrow[r] & B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}(A_\bullet^\dagger) \end{tikzcd} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{tikzcd} \mathscr{F}(A) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbf{Z}_\infty E_\bullet \operatorname{GL}(A_\bullet^\dagger) \arrow[d, "\mathbf{Z}_\infty p"] \\ \mathbf{Z}_\infty B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}(A) \arrow[r] & \mathbf{Z}_\infty B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}(A_\bullet^\dagger) \end{tikzcd}$$

Since $B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}(A_\bullet^\dagger) \to \mathbf{Z}_\infty B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}(A_\bullet^\dagger)$ and $E_\bullet \operatorname{GL}(A_\bullet^\dagger) \to \mathbf{Z}_\infty E_\bullet \operatorname{GL}(A_\bullet^\dagger)$ are both weak equivalences, so is the map from the fibre of p to that of $\mathbf{Z}_\infty p$. Hence the same is true for the map from the fibre of the fibration $F(A) \to B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}(A)$ to that of $\mathscr{F}(A) \to \mathbf{Z}_\infty B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}(A)$. Moreover, the map of base spaces $B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}(A) \to \mathbf{Z}_\infty B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}(A)$ is acyclic, and hence a comparison of the associated Serre spectral sequences yields that $F(A) \to \mathscr{F}(A)$ induces an isomorphism in integral homology, whence the claim. ☐

We extend the definition of topological and relative K-theory to *smooth, separated* R-schemes X of finite type as follows. According to [26, Proposition 18.1.5] we have isomorphisms[2]

$$K_i(X) \cong \varinjlim_{U_\bullet \to X} \pi_i \operatorname*{holim}_{\Delta}(\mathbf{Z}_\infty B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}(U_\bullet)), \quad i \geq 1 \tag{2.5}$$

where $U_\bullet \to X$ runs through all finite affine open coverings of X viewed as simplicial schemes. In analogy to (2.5) we define

$$K_{\mathrm{top}}^{-i}(X) := \varinjlim_{U_\bullet \to X} \pi_i \operatorname*{holim}_{\Delta} B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}(\hat{U}_\bullet \times \hat{\Delta}^\bullet), \quad i \geq 1, \text{ and}$$
$$K_i^{\mathrm{rel}}(X) := \varinjlim_{U_\bullet \to X} \pi_i \operatorname*{holim}_{\Delta} \mathscr{F}(U_\bullet)$$

---

[1] $\mathscr{F}(A)$ is naturally a bisimplicial set and here we also write $\mathscr{F}(A)$ for its diagonal simplicial set. Later on we will also have to use the bisimplicial structure and then refer to the diagonal explicitly by $\operatorname{diag} \mathscr{F}(A)$.

[2] Huber uses Tot instead of $\operatorname{holim}_\Delta$. From her proof it is clear that the result holds with holim.

Here $\Delta^p = \operatorname{Spec}(R[x_0, \dots, x_p]/(\sum_i x_i - 1))$ is the algebraic standard simplex over R and $\hat{\Delta}$ the associated weak formal scheme so that if $U = \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ then $\hat{U} \times \hat{\Delta}^p = \operatorname{Spwf}(A^\dagger \otimes_R^\dagger R_p^\dagger)$ (see 1.2).

Lemma 2.6. (i) *If $X = \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ is affine and smooth over R these definitions coincide with the earlier ones.*

(ii) *There are long exact sequences*

$$\dots \to K_i^{\mathrm{rel}}(X) \to K_i(X) \to K_{\mathrm{top}}^{-i}(X) \to K_{i-1}^{\mathrm{rel}}(X) \to \dots$$

as before.

Proof. (i) We use the following fact due to Calvo [11]: Let π be a uniformizer of R and B be an R-dagger algebra such that $B/\pi B$ is regular. Then the reduction $B \to B/\pi B$ induces isomorphisms $K_{\mathrm{top}}^{-i}(B) \to K_i(B/\pi B)$ for all $i > 0$. In particular, for a smooth affine R-scheme U we get weak equivalences

$$B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}(\hat{U} \times_R \hat{\Delta}^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\sim} B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}(U_k \times_k \Delta_k^\bullet) \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Z}_\infty B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}(U_k).$$

Since holim preserves weak equivalences between fibrant simplicial sets, for any open affine covering $U_\bullet \to X$ viewed as simplicial scheme we get weak equivalences

$$\operatorname*{holim}_{\Delta} B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}(\hat{U}_\bullet \times_R \hat{\Delta}^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\sim}\xleftarrow{\sim} \operatorname*{holim}_{\Delta} \mathbf{Z}_\infty B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}((U_\bullet)_k)$$

Taking π_i and the limit over all finite affine coverings yields isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}\varinjlim_{U_\bullet \to X} \pi_i \operatorname*{holim}_{\Delta} B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}(\hat{U}_\bullet \times_R \hat{\Delta}^\bullet) &\xrightarrow{\cong} \varinjlim_{U_\bullet \to X} \pi_i \operatorname*{holim}_{\Delta} \mathbf{Z}_\infty B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}((U_\bullet)_k) \\ &\overset{(2.5)}{\cong} K_i(X_k) \overset{\text{Calvo}}{\cong} K_{\mathrm{top}}^{-i}(A^\dagger)\end{aligned}$$

which is (i) for K_{top}^{-*}. Using the five lemma the result for K_*^{rel} follows from this, (2.5) again, and (ii).

(ii) follows from Lemma 2.4 and the fact that holim preserves homotopy fibrations of fibrant simplicial sets [42, Lemma 5.12]. ☐

3. Preliminaries on functorial complexes

For our construction of the relative Chern character we need functorial complexes computing the different cohomology theories involved. The main work has been done before by Huber [26], Besser [7], and Chiarellotto-Ciccioni-Mazzari [12]. The only difference in our approach is the systematic use of dagger spaces also initiated by Huber and Kings [27] which simplifies the construction of the rigid and syntomic complexes.

3.1 (Godement resolutions). We recall some facts on Godement resolutions (see [12, Sections 3 and 4] for more details and references). To a morphism of sites $u\colon P \to X$ and an abelian sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on X one associates a cosimplicial sheaf $[p] \mapsto (u_* u^*)^{p+1}\mathcal{F}$ on X where the structure maps are induced by the unit and the counit of the adjoint pair (u^*, u_*) between the categories of abelian sheaves on P and X. The associated complex of sheaves on X will be denoted by $\operatorname{Gd}_P \mathcal{F}$. There is a canonical augmentation $\mathcal{F} \to \operatorname{Gd}_P \mathcal{F}$ which is a quasi-isomorphism if u^* is exact and conservative (e.g. [28, Lemma 3.4.1]).

We want to use this in the situation where P is a certain set of points of (the topos associated with) X with the discrete topology. The first case is that of a *scheme* X. Here we take $P = Pt(X)$ to be the set of all points of the underlying topological space of X. Then u^* is given by $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \coprod_{x\in X} \mathcal{F}_x$ which is exact and conservative and $\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Gd}_{Pt(X)} \mathcal{F}$ is the usual Godement resolution.

The second case is that of a dagger space X. Here it is not enough to take just the usual points of X. Instead, one has to use the set of prime filters on X (introduced in [43]) as alluded to in [7] and carried out in [12, Section 3]. We take $P = Pt(X)$ to be the set of prime filters ([12, Definition 3.6]) on the rigid space X^{rig} associated with X with the discrete topology. Then there are morphisms of sites $Pt(X) \xrightarrow[\text{[12, 3.6]}]{\xi} X^{\mathrm{rig}} \xrightarrow[\text{cf. 1.2}]{\iota} X$.

Since ι is the identity on underlying G-topological spaces we get from [12, Lemma 3.8] that $\xi^{-1}\iota^{-1}$ is exact and conservative. Hence for any abelian sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on X the augmentation $\mathcal{F} \to \operatorname{Gd}_{Pt(X)} X$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

It is important to note that in both cases the complex $\operatorname{Gd}_P \mathcal{F}$ consists of flabby sheaves. This follows automatically since on a discrete site every sheaf is flabby and direct images of flabby sheaves are flabby.

More generally, if $\mathcal{F}^*$ is a complex of abelian sheaves on X we can apply Gd_P to each component to get a double complex. We then denote by $\operatorname{Gd}_P \mathcal{F}^*$ its associated total complex. It follows from a simple spectral sequence argument that the induced morphism $\mathcal{F}^* \to \operatorname{Gd}_P \mathcal{F}^*$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

An important feature of the Godement resolution is their functorial behavior: If

$$\begin{tikzcd} Q \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & P \arrow[d] \\ Y \arrow[r, "f"] & X \end{tikzcd}$$

is a commutative diagram of sites, and $\mathcal{F}$ (resp. $\mathcal{G}$) is a sheaf on X (resp. Y), then a morphism $\mathcal{F} \to f_*\mathcal{G}$ induces a morphism $\operatorname{Gd}_P \mathcal{F} \to f_* \operatorname{Gd}_Q \mathcal{G}$ compatible with the augmentations [12, Lemma 3.2].

3.2 (Analytic de Rham cohomology). Let X be a smooth K-dagger space. There is a notion of differential forms on X (cf. [22, §4]): For an open affinoid $U = \mathrm{Sp}(A)$ the differential $d\colon A = \Gamma(U, \mathscr{O}_X) \to \Gamma(U, \Omega^1_{X/K})$ is universal for K-derivations of A in finite A-modules. As usual one constructs the de Rham complex $\Omega^*_{X/K}$ and defines

$$H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/K) := \mathbb{H}^*(X, \Omega^*_{X/K}).$$

We define a complex of K-vector spaces functorial in X

$$R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/K) := \Gamma(X, \operatorname{Gd}_{Pt(X)} \Omega^*_{X/K}).$$

Since the complex $\operatorname{Gd}_{Pt(X)} \Omega^*_{X/K}$ consists of flabby sheaves which are acyclic for $\Gamma(X, \,.\,)$ we have natural isomorphisms

$$H^*(R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/K)) \cong H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/K).$$

3.3 (Algebraic de Rham cohomology). Here X is a smooth, separated scheme of finite type over K. Its de Rham cohomology is by definition the hypercohomology of the complex of Kähler differential forms:

$$H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/K) := \mathbb{H}^*(X, \Omega^*_{X/K}).$$

It is equipped with the *Hodge filtration* constructed as follows: By Nagata's compactification theorem and Hironaka's resolution of singularities there exists a *good compactification of* X, i.e. an open immersion $X \overset{j}{\hookrightarrow} \overline{X}$ of X in a smooth, proper K-scheme $\overline{X}$ such that the complement $D = \overline{X} - X$ is a divisor with normal crossings. On $\overline{X}$ one has the complex $\Omega^*_{\overline{X}/K}(\log D)$ of differential forms with logarithmic poles along D. There are isomorphisms

$$H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/K) \cong \mathbb{H}^*(\overline{X}, \Omega^*_{\overline{X}/K}(\log D)),$$

the Hodge-de Rham spectral sequence $E_1^{p,q} = H^q(\overline{X}, \Omega^p_{\overline{X}/K}(\log D)) \Rightarrow H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/K)$ degenerates at E_1 and the induced filtration $H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/K)$ is independent from the choice of $\overline{X}$. It is given by

$$F^n H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/K) = \mathbb{H}^*(\overline{X}, \Omega^{\geq n}_{\overline{X}/K}(\log D))$$

($\geq n$ denoting the naive truncation).

Since the good compactifications of X form a directed set with respect to inclusion and taking the colimit along a directed set is exact, to get functorial complexes computing algebraic de Rham cohomology together with its Hodge filtration we could take the colimit of the $\Gamma(\overline{X}, \mathrm{Gd}_{Pt(\overline{X})}\, \Omega^*_{\overline{X}/K}(\log D))$ along the system of good compactifications $\overline{X}$ of X. However, for the comparison with analytic de Rham cohomology it is technically easier to use the following variant (see [12, Proposition 4.9]):

Let $X^\dagger$ be the dagger analytification of X (cf. 1.2) and $Pt(X^\dagger)$ as in 3.1, $Pt(\overline{X})$ the usual set of points of the good compactification $\overline{X}$ of X. We can form the disjoint sum $Pt(X^\dagger) \sqcup Pt(\overline{X})$ viewed as site with the discrete topology to get a commutative diagram of sites

$$\begin{tikzcd} Pt(X^\dagger) \arrow[rr] \arrow[d] & & Pt(X^\dagger) \sqcup Pt(\overline{X}) \arrow[d] \\ X^\dagger \arrow[r, "\iota", "\text{cf. } 1.2"'] & X \arrow[r, "j"] & \overline{X}. \end{tikzcd} \tag{3.4}$$

On the other hand, there are natural morphisms of complexes of sheaves $\Omega^*_{\overline{X}/K}(\log D) \to j_*\Omega^*_{X/K} \to j_*\iota_*\Omega^*_{X^\dagger/K}$ which together with (3.4) induce a natural map

$$\mathrm{Gd}_{Pt(X^\dagger)\sqcup Pt(\overline{X})}\, \Omega^*_{\overline{X}/K}(\log D) \to j_*\iota_*\, \mathrm{Gd}_{Pt(X^\dagger)}\, \Omega^*_{X^\dagger/K}. \tag{3.5}$$

Thus we are led to define

$$F^n R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/K) := \varinjlim_{X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}} \Gamma(\overline{X}, \mathrm{Gd}_{Pt(X^\dagger)\sqcup Pt(\overline{X})}\, \Omega^{\geq n}_{\overline{X}/K}(\log D)) \tag{3.6}$$

where the limit runs over the directed set of good compactifications of X.

It follows from the discussion above that there are natural isomorphisms

$$H^*(F^n R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/K)) \cong F^n H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/K),$$

and (3.5) induces natural comparison maps

$$F^n R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/K) \to R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X^\dagger/K). \tag{3.7}$$

4. Construction of the relative Chern character

Now let X be a smooth, separated R-scheme. Then we have its generic fibre X_K with dagger analytification $X_K^\dagger$, and its weak completion $\hat{X}$ with generic fibre $\hat{X}_K$, related by the following morphisms of locally G-ringed spaces

$$\hat{X}_K \subseteq X_K^\dagger \xrightarrow{\iota} X_K.$$

In particular, we have morphisms of complexes

$$F^n R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_K/K) \xrightarrow{(3.7)} R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_K^\dagger/K) \xrightarrow{\text{by functoriality}} R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(\hat{X}_K/K). \tag{4.1}$$

Definition 4.2. We define functorial complexes

$$R\Gamma_{\mathrm{rel}}(X,n) := \mathrm{Cone}(F^n R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_K/K) \xrightarrow{(4.1)} R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(\hat{X}_K/K))$$

and *relative cohomology groups* $H^*_{\mathrm{rel}}(X,n) := H^*(R\Gamma_{\mathrm{rel}}(X,n))$.

The goal of this section is to construct relative Chern character maps which will be homomorphisms

$$\mathrm{ch}^{\mathrm{rel}}_{n,i} \colon K_i^{\mathrm{rel}}(X) \to H^{2n-i-1}_{\mathrm{rel}}(X,n).$$

Remark 4.3. In the present case of a *smooth* R-scheme X, the de Rham cohomology of $\hat{X}_K$ is just the rigid cohomology of the special fibre X_k. Hence the relative cohomology groups sit in exact sequences

$$\cdots \to H^{i-1}_{\mathrm{rel}}(X,n) \to F^n H^i_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_K/K) \to H^i_{\mathrm{rig}}(X_k/K) \to \dots$$

4.4. We start with some notational preliminaries. If $G = G_\bullet$ is a simplicial abelian group the associated (homological) complex is $\cdots \to G_n \xrightarrow{d} G_{n-1} \to \dots$ with differential $d = \sum_i (-1)^i \partial_i$. Similarly, a cosimplicial abelian group gives rise to a cohomological complex.

If $C = C^\bullet \colon \cdots \to C^n \xrightarrow{d} C^{n+1} \to \dots$ is a cohomological complex we also consider it as a homological complex by reindexing $C_n := C^{-n}$.

Now assume given a category $\mathscr{C}$ and a presheaf F on $\mathscr{C}$ with values in cohomological complexes, e.g. one of our functorial complexes above. If $Y_\bullet$ is a simplicial object in $\mathscr{C}$, we get the cosimplicial cohomological complex $[p] \mapsto F(Y_p)$ and define $F(Y_\bullet)$ to be its associated total complex: $F(Y_\bullet)^n = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} F^q(Y_p)$ (so we take the simplicial degree to be the horizontal coordinate). The differential in bidegree (p,q) is given by $\sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i \partial_i^* + (-1)^p d^F$.

If instead $Y^\bullet$ is a *cosimplicial* object in $\mathscr{C}$ we get a cohomological double complex in the left half plane which in bidegree (p,q) is given by $F^q(Y^{-p})$. We define $F(Y^\bullet)$ to be its *direct sum* total complex. So explicitly $F(Y^\bullet)$ is given in degree n by $\bigoplus_{p\geq 0} F^{n+p}(Y^p)$.

Finally, if F and G are cohomological complexes we define the homological complex $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(F,G)$ which in degree n is given by $\prod_p \mathrm{Hom}(F^p, G^{p-n})$ with differential given on $\mathrm{Hom}(F^p, G^{p-n})$ by $f \mapsto f \circ d^F + (-1)^{n+1} d^G \circ f$ (so that cycles of degree n are morphisms of complexes $F \to G[-n]$ and $H_n(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(F,G)) = \mathrm{Hom}(F, G[-n])/\text{homotopy.}$).

In the following we will mainly work with complexes of K-vector spaces where K is a field. Since any object in the category of K-vector spaces is injective, the complex $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(F,G)$ represents $R\,\mathrm{Hom}(F,G)$ in the derived category and, in particular, respects quasi-isomorphisms.

Let $X = \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ be a smooth, affine R-scheme and recall the bisimplicial set $F(A) = B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}(A) \times_{B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}(A_\bullet^\dagger)} E_\bullet \operatorname{GL}(A_\bullet^\dagger)$ introduced in Lemma 2.4.

Lemma 4.5. *There are natural maps of bisimplicial sets*

$$\begin{aligned} F(A) &\to \operatorname{Hom}(X, B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}) \times_{\operatorname{Hom}(\hat{X}\times\hat{\Delta}^\bullet, B_\bullet \hat{\operatorname{GL}})} \operatorname{Hom}(\hat{X} \times \hat{\Delta}^\bullet, E_\bullet \hat{\operatorname{GL}}) \\ &\to \operatorname{Hom}(X_K, B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}_K) \times_{\operatorname{Hom}(\hat{X}_K\times\hat{\Delta}_K^\bullet, B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}_K^\dagger)} \operatorname{Hom}(\hat{X}_K \times \hat{\Delta}_K^\bullet, E_\bullet \operatorname{GL}_K^\dagger). \end{aligned}$$

Remark. Strictly speaking, GL is only an ind-variety and by notations like $\operatorname{Hom}(X, B_\bullet \operatorname{GL})$ we always mean the direct limit $\varinjlim_r \operatorname{Hom}(X, B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}_r)$. In the argument one may restrict to a finite level GL_r.

Proof of the lemma. This follows from the following observation: A $r \times r$-matrix with coefficients in $A_p^\dagger$ is given by a morphism of R-algebras $R[x_{ij}, y]/(\det(x_{ij})y - 1) \to A_p^\dagger$. By [34, Thm. 1.5] this extends uniquely to a morphism $R\langle x_{ij}, y\rangle^\dagger/(\det(x_{ij})y - 1) \to A_p^\dagger$, which corresponds to a morphism of weak formal schemes $\hat{X} \times \hat{\Delta}^p \to \hat{\operatorname{GL}}_r$. □

In the following, we define the functorial complexes of K-vector spaces $R\Gamma_?(\,.\,)$ for the simplicial ind-variety $B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}$ as $R\Gamma_?(B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}) := R\lim_N R\Gamma_?(B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}_N)$, where $R\lim$ of a projective system of complexes $\cdots \to C_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\phi_n} C_n \to \cdots \to C_0$ may be represented by the complex $\operatorname{Cone}(\prod_n C_n \xrightarrow{\operatorname{id} - (\phi_n)_n} \prod_n C_n)[-1]$ (cf. [4, 1.1]). The cohomology of these complexes is determined easily from the exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to \lim_N{}^1 H^{i-1}(R\Gamma_?(B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}_N)) \to H^i(R\Gamma_?(B_\bullet \operatorname{GL})) \to \\ \to \lim_N H^i(R\Gamma_?(B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}_N)) \to 0. \end{aligned}$$

In fact, for all cohomology theories appearing in this paper the cohomology in a fixed degree of $B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}_N$ becomes stable, hence the $\lim^1$ term vanishes and the cohomology of $R\Gamma_?(B_\bullet \operatorname{GL})$ is the expected projective limit.

If $\cdots \to C \xrightarrow{\operatorname{id}} C \to \cdots \to C$ is a *constant* projective system the composition $\operatorname{Cone}(\prod_n C \to \prod_n C)[-1] \to \prod_n C \xrightarrow{\operatorname{proj}_0} C$ is a quasi-isomorphism. Hence, a morphism $X \xrightarrow{f} B_p \operatorname{GL}$ induces the pullback $R\Gamma_?(B_p \operatorname{GL}) = R\lim R\Gamma_?(B_p \operatorname{GL}_N) \xrightarrow{f^*} R\lim R\Gamma_?(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma_?(X)$.

Lemma 4.6. *There is a natural map of complexes*

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{Tot} \mathbf{Z} \Big(\operatorname{Hom}(X_K, B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}_K) \times_{\operatorname{Hom}(\hat{X}_K\times\hat{\Delta}_K^\bullet, B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}_K^\dagger)} \operatorname{Hom}(\hat{X}_K \times \hat{\Delta}_K^\bullet, E_\bullet \operatorname{GL}_K^\dagger)\Big) \\ &\quad \xrightarrow{\Phi} \underline{\operatorname{Hom}}\Big(\operatorname{Cone}(F^n R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}_K /K) \to R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(E_\bullet \operatorname{GL}_K^\dagger /K)), \\ &\qquad\qquad \operatorname{Cone}(F^n R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_K/K) \to R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(\hat{X}_K \times \hat{\Delta}_K^\bullet/K))\Big). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. A (p,q)-bisimplex in the bisimplicial set $\operatorname{Hom}(\dots) \times \operatorname{Hom}(\dots)$ on the left hand side is a pair (f, g) where $f \colon X_K \to B_p \operatorname{GL}_K$ is a morphism of K-schemes,

$g\colon \hat{X}_K \times \hat{\Delta}^q_K \to E_p \operatorname{GL}^\dagger_K$ a morphism of K-dagger spaces, and the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\hat{X}_K \times \hat{\Delta}^q_K \arrow[rr, "g"] \arrow[d] & & E_p \operatorname{GL}^\dagger_K \arrow[d] \\
\hat{X}_K \arrow[r, hook] & X^\dagger_K \arrow[r, "f^\dagger"] & B_p \operatorname{GL}^\dagger_K
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. The functoriality of the de Rham complexes ensures that this induces for every k a map

$$\begin{aligned}
&\operatorname{Cone}(F^n R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}_K /K) \to R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(E_\bullet \operatorname{GL}^\dagger_K /K))^k \\
\xrightarrow{\text{proj.}} &\operatorname{Cone}(F^n R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(B_p \operatorname{GL}_K /K) \to R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(E_p \operatorname{GL}^\dagger_K /K))^{k-p} \\
\xrightarrow{(f,g)^*} &\operatorname{Cone}(F^n R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_K/K) \to R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(\hat{X}_K \times \hat{\Delta}^q_K/K))^{k-p} \\
\hookrightarrow &\operatorname{Cone}(F^n R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_K/K) \to R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(\hat{X}_K \times \hat{\Delta}^\bullet_K/K))^{k-p-q},
\end{aligned}$$

hence an element of total degree $p+q$ in the $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}$-complex of the right hand side which we simply denote by $(f,g)^*$. In order to get a morphism of complexes we have to introduce a sign and set

$$\Phi((f,g)) := (-1)^{q(q-1)/2}(f,g)^*. \tag{4.7}$$

We check the compatibility with the differentials. So start with (f,g) as above. The total differential is $d(f,g) = d^h(f,g) + (-1)^p d^v(f,g)$ where the horizontal and vertical differentials are given by $d^h(f,g) = \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i(\partial_i \circ f, \partial_i \circ g)$ and $d^v(f,g) = \sum_{j=0}^q (-1)^j (f, g \circ \partial^j)$, respectively. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi(d(f,g)) =&(-1)^{q(q-1)/2} \sum_i (-1)^i (\partial_i \circ f, \partial_i \circ g)^* + \\
&(-1)^p (-1)^{(q-1)(q-2)/2} \sum_j (-1)^j (f, g \circ \partial^j)^*
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

To simplify notation, abbreviate the two cone complexes appearing inside the $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}$ by $C(B_\bullet \operatorname{GL})$ and $C(X \times \Delta^\bullet)$, respectively. Note that these are itself the total complexes associated with a double complex. Now for any k the component of the total differential $d^{\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}}(\Phi((f,g)))$ on $C(B_\bullet \operatorname{GL})^k$ is given by $(-1)^{q(q-1)/2}$ times the sum of

$$\begin{aligned}
C(B_\bullet \operatorname{GL})^k \supset C(B_{p-1} \operatorname{GL})^{k-p+1} \oplus C(B_p \operatorname{GL})^{k-p} &\xrightarrow{(\sum_i (-1)^i \partial_i^*, (-1)^p d^v)} \\
C(B_p \operatorname{GL})^{k-p+1} \xrightarrow{(f,g)^*} C(X \times \Delta^q)^{k-p+1} &\subset C(X \times \Delta^\bullet)^{k-p-q+1}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&C(B_\bullet \operatorname{GL})^k \supset C(B_p \operatorname{GL})^{k-p} \xrightarrow{(-1)^{p+q+1}(f,g)^*} C(X \times \Delta^q)^{k-p} \\
&\xrightarrow{(\sum_j (-1)^j (\partial^j)^*, (-1)^q d^v)} C(X \times \Delta^{q-1})^{k-p} \oplus C(X \times \Delta^q)^{k-p+1} \subset C(X \times \Delta^\bullet)^{k-p-q+1}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

where d^v denotes the vertical differential in the complexes $C(?)$. Since $(f,g)^*$ is a morphism of complexes $C(B_p \operatorname{GL}) \to C(X \times \Delta^q)$ we have

$$(f,g)^* \circ ((-1)^p d^v) + (-1)^q d^v \circ ((-1)^{p+q+1}(f,g)^*) = 0$$

so that $(-1)^{q(q-1)/2}$ times the sum of (4.9) and (4.10) is

$$(-1)^{q(q-1)/2}(f,g)^* \circ (\sum_i (-1)^i \partial_i^*) + (-1)^{q(q-1)/2}(-1)^{p+q+1}(\sum_j (-1)^j (\partial^j)^*) \circ (f,g)^*$$

which equals (4.8) since $\frac{q(q-1)}{2} + q + 1 \equiv \frac{(q-1)(q-2)}{2} \pmod 2$. $\square$

The next lemma we need is:

Lemma 4.11. *The natural map $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(\hat{X}_K/K) \to R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(\hat{X}_K \times \hat{\Delta}^\bullet_K/K)$ is a quasi-isomorphism.*

The same is true for any functorial complex with homotopy invariant cohomology in the appropriate sense.

Proof. By construction $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(\hat{X}_K \times \hat{\Delta}^\bullet_K/K)$ is the direct sum total complex of a double complex in the second quadrant. The filtration by columns gives a convergent spectral sequence in the second quadrant (cf. [45, 5.6.1] for the dual homological case)

$$E_1^{p,q} = H^q_{\mathrm{dR}}(\hat{X}_K \times \hat{\Delta}^{-p}_K/K) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(\hat{X}_K \times \hat{\Delta}^\bullet_K/K)).$$

The differential d_1 is induced from the cosimplicial structure of $\hat{\Delta}^\bullet_K$. The homotopy invariance of de Rham cohomology of dagger spaces [21, Proposition 5.8] implies that $d_1^{p,q}$ is the identity if p is even and zero if p is odd. Hence $E_2^{0,q} = H^q_{\mathrm{dR}}(\hat{X}_K/K)$, $E_2^{p,q} = 0$ if $p < 0$. It follows that the edge morphism $E_2^{0,q} = H^q_{\mathrm{dR}}(\hat{X}_K/K) \to H^q(R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(\hat{X}_K \times \hat{\Delta}^\bullet_K/K)$ is an isomorphism. $\square$

4.12. Recall the Eilenberg-Zilber Theorem (see e.g. [45, 8.5.4]): If $A_{\bullet\bullet}$ is a bisimplicial object in an abelian category there are natural isomorphisms $\pi_*(\operatorname{diag} A_{\bullet\bullet}) = H_*(\operatorname{diag} A_{\bullet\bullet}) \cong H_*(\operatorname{Tot} A_{\bullet\bullet})$ induced by the Alexander-Whitney map $AW\colon \operatorname{diag} A_{\bullet\bullet} \to \operatorname{Tot} A_{\bullet\bullet}$.

We have now achieved the following: For any smooth, *affine* R-scheme $U = \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ we have natural maps

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.13)\quad & \operatorname{diag}\mathscr{F}(A) \xrightarrow{\text{Hur.}} \mathbf{Z}\operatorname{diag}\mathscr{F}(A) \xleftarrow{\simeq} \mathbf{Z}\operatorname{diag}F(A) \xrightarrow[\simeq]{AW} \operatorname{Tot}\mathbf{Z}F(A) \xrightarrow{\text{Lemma 4.5}} \\
& \operatorname{Tot}\mathbf{Z}\left(\operatorname{Hom}(U_K, B_\bullet\operatorname{GL}_K) \times_{\operatorname{Hom}(\hat{U}_K \times \hat{\Delta}^\bullet_K, B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}^\dagger_K)} \operatorname{Hom}(\hat{U}_K \times \hat{\Delta}^\bullet_K, E_\bullet\operatorname{GL}^\dagger_K)\right) \\
& \xrightarrow{\text{Lemma 4.6}} \underline{\operatorname{Hom}}\Big(\operatorname{Cone}(F^n R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(B_\bullet\operatorname{GL}_K/K) \to R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(E_\bullet\operatorname{GL}^\dagger_K/K)), \\
& \operatorname{Cone}(F^n R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(U_K/K) \to R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(\hat{U}_K \times \hat{\Delta}^\bullet_K/K))\Big) \xleftarrow[\sim]{\text{Lemma 4.11}} \\
& \underline{\operatorname{Hom}}\Big(\operatorname{Cone}(F^n R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(B_\bullet\operatorname{GL}_K/K) \to R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(E_\bullet\operatorname{GL}^\dagger_K/K)), R\Gamma_{\mathrm{rel}}(U,n)\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

which we abbreviate symbollically by

$$(4.14)\qquad \operatorname{diag}\mathscr{F}(A) \to \xleftarrow{\simeq} \underline{\operatorname{Hom}}\left(\operatorname{Cone}(\dots), R\Gamma_{\mathrm{rel}}(U,n)\right).$$

Remark 4.15. This is already enough to construct the relative Chern character for affine schemes. One could then use Jouanolou's trick to extend this construction to non-affine schemes. However, we use a more intrinsic but also more technical approach following Huber [26] and originally Beilinson [3].

4.16. Let $U_\bullet$ be a simplicial smooth, affine R-scheme. Then $\operatorname{diag} \mathscr{F}(U_\bullet)$ is a cosimplicial simplicial set and $\mathbf{Z} \operatorname{diag} \mathscr{F}(U_\bullet)$ is a cosimplicial simplicial abelian group. The Hurewicz maps induce a morphism of simplicial sets $\operatorname{holim}_\Delta \operatorname{diag} \mathscr{F}(U_\bullet) \to \operatorname{holim}_\Delta \mathbf{Z} \operatorname{diag} \mathscr{F}(U_\bullet)$.

Let $A = A^\bullet_\bullet$ be any cosimplicial simplicial abelian group. Since a cosimplicial simplicial abelian group is always fibrant as a cosimplicial simplicial set the natural map $\operatorname{Tot} A \to \operatorname{holim}_\Delta A$ is a weak equivalence, where here Tot is Bousfield and Kan's total space of a cosimplicial space [10, Ch. X, 3.2, 4.10; Ch. XI, 4.4]. We can associate a homological double complex in the fourth quadrant to A in different ways: We write $C_{**}A$ for the double complex with $C_{p,-q}A = A^q_p$ where the horizontal differential is given by the alternating sum of the simplicial boundary maps, the vertical differential by the alternating sum of the coboundary maps. On the other hand we also have the double normalization $N_{**}A$ given explicitely by $N_{p,-q}A = A^q_p \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^p \ker(\partial_i) \cap \bigcap_{j=0}^{q-1} \ker(s^j)$. This is a direct summand of $C_{**}A$ (cf. [45, Lemma 8.3.7]).

Denote by $\operatorname{Tot}^{\prod} N_{**}A$ the direct product total complex given in degree n by $\prod_{p \geq 0} N_{p,n-p}A$ and let $\tau_{\geq 0}$ be the canonical truncation functor so that $\tau_{\geq 0} \operatorname{Tot}^{\prod} N_{**}A \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Tot}^{\prod} N_{**}A$ induces an isomorphism in homology in non negative degrees. Denoting by $C_* \operatorname{Tot} A$ the complex associated with the simplicial abelian group $\operatorname{Tot} A$, there exists a natural quasiisomorphism

$$C_* \operatorname{Tot} A \xrightarrow{\sim} \tau_{\geq 0} \operatorname{Tot}^{\prod} N_{**}A$$

[1, Lemma 3.2].[3]

Thus, for a simplicial smooth, affine R-scheme $U_\bullet$ (4.14) induces morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(4.17)} \quad & \operatorname*{holim}_{\Delta} \operatorname{diag} \mathscr{F}(U_\bullet) \to \operatorname*{holim}_{\Delta} \mathbf{Z} \operatorname{diag} \mathscr{F}(U_\bullet) \xleftarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Tot} \mathbf{Z} \operatorname{diag} \mathscr{F}(U_\bullet) \to \\ & \qquad \operatorname{Tot}^{\prod} \mathbf{Z} \operatorname{diag} \mathscr{F}(U_\bullet) \to \xleftarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Tot}^{\prod} \underline{\operatorname{Hom}}\left(\operatorname{Cone}(\dots), R\Gamma_{\mathrm{rel}}(U_\bullet, n)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.18. *Let X be a smooth, separated R-scheme of finite type and $U_\bullet \to X$ an affine open covering, viewed as simplicial affine scheme. Then the natural augmentation*

$$R\Gamma_{\mathrm{rel}}(X, n) \to R\Gamma_{\mathrm{rel}}(U_\bullet, n)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

Proof. Since $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{rel}}(X,n) = \operatorname{Cone}(F^n R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_K/K) \to R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(\hat{X}_K/K))$ the lemma follows if we show the assertion for both components of the cone.

Denote the augmentation $U_\bullet \to X$ by a. By [16, Theorem 7.2] the induced maps $a_K \colon U_{\bullet,K} \to X_K$ and $\hat{a}_K \colon \hat{U}_{\bullet,K} \to \hat{X}_K$ are of cohomological descent. Since $\hat{a}_K^* \Omega^*_{\hat{X}_K/K} = \Omega^*_{\hat{U}_{\bullet,K}/K}$ and $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(?/K)$ is a functorial complex computing the hypercohomology of the complex $\Omega^*_{?/K}$ it follows that $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(\hat{X}_K/K) \to R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(\hat{U}_{\bullet,K}/K)$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

For $F^n R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_K/K)$ we use an indirect argument: Again by cohomological descent, we have isomorphisms of $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$-representations $H^*_{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbf{Q}_p) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^*_{\text{ét}}(U_{\bullet,\overline{K}}, \mathbf{Q}_p)$ which by Faltings' comparison theorem gives isomorphisms $F^n H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_K/K) \xrightarrow{\cong} F^n H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(U_{\bullet,K}/K)$. But this last map is induced by the morphisms of complexes

---

[3] The perhaps a little bit artificial seeming truncation would be unnecessary if we worked with spectra instead of simplicial sets (cf. [42, 5.32]).

$F^nR\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_K/K) \to F^nR\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(U_{\bullet,K}/K)$ which hence is a quasi-isomorphism, too. □

4.19. There is an isomorphisms of complexes $\mathrm{Tot}^{\prod} \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathrm{Cone}(\dots), R\Gamma_{\mathrm{rel}}(U_\bullet, n)) \cong \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathrm{Cone}(\dots), \mathrm{Tot}\, R\Gamma_{\mathrm{rel}}(U_\bullet, n))$. Similar as in Lemma 4.6 there are some signs involved. But since we do not need them, we omit the details.

Composing now (4.17) with this quasi-isomorphism and with

$$\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}\,(\mathrm{Cone}(\dots), \mathrm{Tot}\, R\Gamma_{\mathrm{rel}}(U_\bullet, n)) \xleftarrow{\sim} \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}\,(\mathrm{Cone}(\dots), R\Gamma_{\mathrm{rel}}(X, n))$$

we finally get natural morphisms

$$\operatorname*{holim}_{\Delta} \operatorname{diag} \mathscr{F}(U_\bullet) \to \xleftarrow{\sim} \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathrm{Cone}(\dots), R\Gamma_{\mathrm{rel}}(X, n)). \tag{4.20}$$

Taking π_i and then the direct limit over all affine open coverings of X this induces natural morphisms

$$K_i^{\mathrm{rel}}(X) \to \mathrm{Hom}\left(H^{2n-1}(\mathrm{Cone}(\dots)), H^{2n-i-1}_{\mathrm{rel}}(X, n)\right) \tag{4.21}$$

Lemma 4.22. *The natural map*

$$H^{2n-1}\left(\mathrm{Cone}(F^nR\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(B_\bullet \mathrm{GL}_K/K) \to R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(E_\bullet \mathrm{GL}_K^\dagger/K))\right) \to$$
$$H^{2n}(F^nR\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(B_\bullet \mathrm{GL}_K/K)) = F^nH^{2n}_{\mathrm{dR}}(B_\bullet \mathrm{GL}_K/K)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. This follows from the long exact sequence for the cohomology of a cone together with the fact that $E_\bullet \mathrm{GL}_K^\dagger = \mathrm{cosk}_0(\mathrm{GL}_K^\dagger/\mathrm{Sp}(K))$ is a contractible simplicial dagger space, hence has no cohomology in positive degrees (cf. [40, Lemma 2.11]). □

Definition 4.23. According to Lemma 4.22 there exists a unique class

$$c_n^{\mathrm{rel}} \in H^{2n-1}\left(\mathrm{Cone}(F^nR\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(B_\bullet \mathrm{GL}_K/K) \to R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(E_\bullet \mathrm{GL}_K^\dagger/K))\right)$$

which is mapped to the universal n-th de Rham Chern class c_n^{dR} in $F^nH^{2n}_{\mathrm{dR}}(B_\bullet \mathrm{GL}_K/K)$. We set

$$\mathrm{ch}_n^{\mathrm{rel}} := \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} c_n^{\mathrm{rel}}.$$

Remark. We let $\mathrm{ch}_n^{\mathrm{dR}} = \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} c_n^{\mathrm{dR}}$. Hence $\mathrm{ch}_n^{\mathrm{rel}}$ is mapped to $\mathrm{ch}_n^{\mathrm{dR}}$. This is *not* the degree $2n$ part of the usual Chern character. They rather differ by a sum of decomposable classes. But since the Hurewicz maps higher K-groups to the primitive part of homology and any decomposable class induces the zero map on primitive elements both induce the same map on higher K-groups (see e.g. [38, p. 23]).

Definition 4.24. Let X be a smooth, separated R-scheme of finite type. The *relative Chern character*

$$\mathrm{ch}_{n,i}^{\mathrm{rel}} \colon K_i^{\mathrm{rel}}(X) \to H^{2n-i-1}_{\mathrm{rel}}(X, n)$$

is defined as the composition of (4.21) with the evaluation at $\mathrm{ch}_n^{\mathrm{rel}}$.

Remark 4.25. Assume that X is smooth and proper over R. Then $\hat{X}_K = X_K^\dagger$ (cf. 1.2) and by GAGA [21, Korollar 4.5] $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_K^\dagger/K) \simeq R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_K/K)$. Hence in this case $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{rel}}(X,n) \simeq \mathrm{Cone}(F^n R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_K/K) \to R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_K/K))$ and by the degeneration of the Hodge-de Rham spectral sequence [17] the relative Chern character is a homomorphism

$$\mathrm{ch}^{\mathrm{rel}}_{n,i}\colon K_i^{\mathrm{rel}}(X) \to H^{2n-i-1}_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_K/K)/F^n H^{2n-i-1}_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_K/K).$$

5. Comparison with the rigid syntomic regulator

The main technical problem in the construction of the rigid syntomic regulator is the construction of functorial complexes computing rigid and rigid syntomic cohomology. This was solved by Besser [7]. An alternative construction of the regulator using cycle classes and higher Chow groups instead of K-theory is given in [12]. We recall Besser's construction with some improvements from [12]. As in [27] the systematic use of dagger spaces simplifies the construction a little bit.

Let R be as before and assume moreover that the residue field k of R is perfect.

5.1. **Rigid cohomology.** We consider the category of separated schemes of finite type over k which admit a closed immersion in a flat weakly formal R-scheme $\mathscr{Y}$ with smooth special fibre $\mathscr{Y}_k$. This category contains all quasiprojective k-schemes (X is a locally closed subscheme of some $\mathbb{P}^n_k$, hence also of the weakly formal scheme $\hat{\mathbb{P}}^n_R$, therefore a closed subscheme of an open subscheme of the latter). If X_k is the special fibre of a smooth R-scheme X of finite type, we can take the canonical closed immersion of X_k in the weak completion $\hat{X}$ of X.

Let X be a k-scheme and choose an embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathscr{Y}$ as above. The *rigid cohomology* of X with coefficients in K is by definition the de Rham cohomology of the tube $]X[_{\mathscr{Y}} := \mathrm{sp}^{-1}(X) \subset \mathscr{Y}_K$ (cf. 1.2) of X in $\mathscr{Y}$:

$$H^*_{\mathrm{rig}}(X/K) = H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(]X[_{\mathscr{Y}}/K)$$

(cf. [6], [21, Proposition 8.1]). Up to isomorphism this is independent of the choice of $\mathscr{Y}$.

Following Besser we define

$$R\Gamma_{\mathrm{rig}}(X/K)_{\mathscr{Y}} := R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(]X[_{\mathscr{Y}}/K).$$

This complex is functorial only in the *pair* $(X,\mathscr{Y})$. To obtain complexes functorial in the k-scheme X we proceed as in [7, §4]. Define the category of *rigid pairs* $\mathcal{RP}$: Objects are pairs $(X, j : X \hookrightarrow \mathscr{Y})$ where X and j are as above. We will often abbreviate such a pair as $(X,\mathscr{Y})$. Morphisms $(X',\mathscr{Y}') \to (X,\mathscr{Y})$ are pairs of morphisms $(f\colon X' \to X, F\colon]X'[_{\mathscr{Y}'} \to]X[_{\mathscr{Y}})$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}]X'[_{\mathscr{Y}'} \arrow[r, "F"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{sp}"'] &]X[_{\mathscr{Y}} \arrow[d, "\mathrm{sp}"] \\ X' \arrow[r, "f"] & X \end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. The category $\mathcal{RP}$ substitutes Besser's category $\mathcal{RT}$ of rigid triples. Note that there is a natural functor {smooth, separated R-schemes of finite type} $\to \mathcal{RP}$ taking X to the pair $(X_k, \hat{X})$.

With this replacement Besser's construction goes through word by word and yields the following

Proposition 5.1 ([7, Prop. 4.9, Cor. 4.22]).

(i) *There exists a functor from the category of schemes X over k as above to the category $Compl_K$ of complexes of K-vector spaces*

$$X \mapsto R\Gamma_{\mathrm{rig}}(X/K)$$

such that $H^*(R\Gamma_{\mathrm{rig}}(X/K)) = H^*_{\mathrm{rig}}(X/K)$ functorially. If $K = K_0 = \mathrm{Frac}W(k)$ and σ is the Frobenius on K_0, there exists a natural σ-semilinear Frobenius-endomorphism ϕ on $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{rig}}(X/K_0)$.

(ii) *There exists a functor $\mathcal{RP} \to Compl_K$, $(X, \mathscr{Y}) \mapsto \widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{rig}}(X/K)_{\mathscr{Y}}$ together with $\mathcal{RP}$-functorial quasi-isomorphisms*

$$R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(]X[_{\mathscr{Y}}/K) = R\Gamma_{\mathrm{rig}}(X/K)_{\mathscr{Y}} \xleftarrow{\sim} \widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{rig}}(X/K)_{\mathscr{Y}} \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma_{\mathrm{rig}}(X/K).$$

5.2. **Rigid syntomic cohomology.**

5.2. First of all, recall the *quasi-pullback* of a diagram of complexes $A \xrightarrow{f} C \xleftarrow{g} B$. By definition it is the complex $A\tilde{\times}_C B := \mathrm{Cone}(A \oplus B \xrightarrow{f-g} C)[-1]$. It fits in a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A\tilde{\times}_C B \arrow[r, "\tilde{f}"] \arrow[d, "\tilde{g}"'] & B \arrow[d, "g"] \\
A \arrow[r, "f"] & C
\end{tikzcd}$$

which is commutative up to *canonical* homotopy. If f is a quasi-isomorphism, so is $\tilde{f}$.

5.3. Let X be a smooth, separated R-scheme of finite type. Then $]X_k[_{\hat{X}} = \hat{X}_K \subseteq X_K^\dagger$ and we have natural maps of complexes, functorial in X,

$$F^n R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_K/K) \xrightarrow{(4.1)} R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(]X_k[_{\hat{X}}/K) \xleftarrow{\sim} \widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{rig}}(X_k/K)_{\hat{X}} \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma_{\mathrm{rig}}(X_k/K).$$

Define $F^n \widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/K)$ to be the quasi-pullback of the left two arrows above, a complex quasi-isomorphic to $F^n R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_K/K)$ which admits natural maps

$$F^n \widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/K) \to \widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{rig}}(X_k/K)_{\hat{X}} \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma_{\mathrm{rig}}(X_k/K).$$

On $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{rig}}(X_k/K_0)$ we have the Frobenius ϕ and we can consider the natural maps

$$\mathrm{Cone}\left(1 - \frac{\phi}{p^n}\middle| R\Gamma_{\mathrm{rig}}(X_k/K_0)\right)[-1] \to R\Gamma_{\mathrm{rig}}(X_k/K_0) \to R\Gamma_{\mathrm{rig}}(X_k/K). \tag{5.4}$$

We define the intermediate complex $\Phi(n)(X_k) := \mathrm{Cone}((5.4))$. This complex is functorial in the k-scheme X_k and there are natural maps

$$F^n \widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/K) \to \widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{rig}}(X_k/K)_{\hat{X}} \to R\Gamma_{\mathrm{rig}}(X_k/K) \to \Phi(n)(X_k). \tag{5.5}$$

Definition 5.6. We define the *syntomic complex of X twisted by n*

$$R\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(X, n) := \mathrm{Cone}(F^n \widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/K) \xrightarrow{-(5.5)} \Phi(n)(X_k))[-1].$$

Its cohomology groups will be denoted by $H^*_{\mathrm{syn}}(X, n)$. These groups are in fact $\mathbf{Q}_p$-vector spaces.

Remark 5.7. Writing down the iterated cone construction explicitly one sees that $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(X,n)$ is isomorphic to the complex

$$\mathrm{Cone}\left(R\Gamma_{\mathrm{rig}}(X_k/K_0) \oplus F^n \widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/K) \to R\Gamma_{\mathrm{rig}}(X_k/K_0) \oplus R\Gamma_{\mathrm{rig}}(X_k/K)\right)[-1]$$

where the map is given by $(x,y) \mapsto ((1-\frac{\phi}{p^n})x, x-y)$. From this one sees that the fundamental Proposition 6.3 of [7] also holds for our definition of rigid syntomic cohomology (cf. the proof of that proposition). Hence all further constructions of *loc. cit.* work equally well in our setting. In particular, there are natural maps

$$H^*_{\mathrm{syn}}(X,n) \to F^n H^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_K/K). \tag{5.8}$$

In fact, it is possible to construct a natural chain of quasi-isomorphisms connecting our version of the rigid syntomic complex with Besser's. But since we do not need this we omit the lengthy and technical details.

5.9. We recall the construction of the rigid syntomic regulator. By [7, Proposition 7.4] and the discussion following it there exists a class $c_n^{\mathrm{syn}} \in H^{2n}_{\mathrm{syn}}(B_\bullet \mathrm{GL}_R, n)$, the *universal n-th syntomic Chern class*, which is uniquely determined by the fact that it is mapped to the universal n-th de Rham Chern class in $F^n H^{2n}_{\mathrm{dR}}(B_\bullet \mathrm{GL}_K / K)$ under (5.8). We set

$$\mathrm{ch}_n^{\mathrm{syn}} := \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} c_n^{\mathrm{syn}}.$$

Now let $U = \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ be a smooth, affine R-scheme. Similarly as in (4.14) we have natural maps

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Z}_\infty B_\bullet \mathrm{GL}(A) \xrightarrow{\text{Hur.}} \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{Z}_\infty B_\bullet \mathrm{GL}(A) \xleftarrow{\simeq} \mathbf{Z} B_\bullet \mathrm{GL}(A) \to \mathbf{Z}\operatorname{Hom}(X, B_\bullet \mathrm{GL}_R) \\ \to \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}\left(R\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(B_\bullet \mathrm{GL}_R, n), R\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(U,n)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

If X is any smooth, separated R-scheme and $U_\bullet \to X$ an open, affine covering, viewed as simplicial scheme, this gives natural maps

$$\operatorname*{holim}_{\Delta} \mathbf{Z}_\infty B_\bullet \mathrm{GL}(U_\bullet) \to \xleftarrow{\simeq} \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}\left(R\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(B_\bullet \mathrm{GL}_R, n), R\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(X,n)\right).$$

As in (4.21), taking π_i and the direct limit over all affine coverings this induces a map $K_i(X) \to \mathrm{Hom}\left(H^{2n}_{\mathrm{syn}}(B_\bullet \mathrm{GL}_R, n), H^{2n-i}_{\mathrm{syn}}(X,n)\right)$ which composed with the evaluation at $\mathrm{ch}_n^{\mathrm{syn}}$ gives the *syntomic regulator*

$$\mathrm{ch}_{n,i}^{\mathrm{syn}} \colon K_i(X) \to H^{2n-i}_{\mathrm{syn}}(X,n).$$

5.3. **The comparison.**

Lemma 5.11. *There is a natural map*

$$H^{*-1}_{\mathrm{rel}}(X,n) \to H^*_{\mathrm{syn}}(X,n).$$

It is an isomorphism if X is proper and $* \notin \{2n, 2n+1, 2n+2\}$.

Proof. Consider the following diagram of complexes

(5.12)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
F^n R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_K/K) \arrow[r, "(4.1)"] & R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(\hat{X}_K/K) \\
F^n \widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/K) \arrow[u, "\simeq"] \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & \widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{rig}}(X_k/K)_{\hat{X}} \arrow[u, "\simeq"'] \arrow[d, "-"'] \\
F^n \widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/K) \arrow[r, "-(5.5)"] & \Phi(n)(X_k)
\end{tikzcd}$$

The upper square commutes up to *canonical* homotopy (cf. 5.2), the lower square strictly commutes. This induces morphisms between the respective cones of the horizontal maps, the one pointing in the wrong direction being a quasi-isomorphism.

The second statement follows from weight considerations as in the proof of [7, Proposition 8.6]. ☐

We can now formulate the main result of this paper:

Theorem 5.13. *Let X be a smooth, separated R-scheme of finite type. Then the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_i^{\mathrm{rel}}(X) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{ch}_{n,i}^{\mathrm{rel}}"'] & K_i(X) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{ch}_{n,i}^{\mathrm{syn}}"] \\
H_{\mathrm{rel}}^{2n-i-1}(X,n) \arrow[r] & H_{\mathrm{syn}}^{2n-i}(X,n)
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes.

Proof. To simplify notation we will, if there is no risk of confusion, use the following abbreviation for the cohomology of a cone of a morphism of complexes $A \to B$:

$$H^*(A \to B) := H^*(\mathrm{Cone}(A \to B)).$$

The first step is to reduce to the case of an affine scheme. This simplifies the rest of the proof a lot. To do this we use Jouanolou's trick [44, Proposition 4.4]: Since X is regular, separated, noetherian there exists an affine vector bundle torsor $W \xrightarrow{p} X$. Assuming the Theorem for W we can deduce it for X using naturality of all maps involved provided $H^*_{\mathrm{syn}}(X,n) \to H^*_{\mathrm{syn}}(W,n)$ is an isomorphism. But this is indeed the case: There exists a finite covering $X = \bigcup_{\alpha\in A} U_\alpha$ such that $p^{-1}(U_\alpha) \to U_\alpha$ is isomorphic to some trivial vector bundle $\mathbb{A}^r_{U_\alpha} \to U_\alpha$. Let $U_\bullet \to X$ be the simplicial scheme associated with this covering and denote by $p^{-1}(U_\bullet) \to W$ its base change to W. By [7, Proposition 6.2] $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(X,n) \to R\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(U_\bullet,n)$ and $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(W,n) \to R\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(p^{-1}(U_\bullet),n)$ are quasi-isomorphisms.

On the other hand homotopy invariance of syntomic cohomology [12, Lemma 1.11] implies that $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(U_\bullet,n) \to R\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(p^{-1}(U_\bullet),n)$ is a quasi-isomorphism, whence $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(X,n) \to R\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(W,n)$ is one, too.

So now assume that $X = \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ is affine. Then we have the following simplified description of the relative Chern character: Applying π_i to (4.14) gives a map

$$K_i^{\mathrm{rel}}(X) = \pi_i \operatorname{diag} \mathscr{F}(A) \to$$
$$\operatorname{Hom}\Bigl(H^{2n-1}\left(F^n R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(B_\bullet \operatorname{GL}_K/K) \to R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(E_\bullet \operatorname{GL}_K^\dagger/K)\right), H_{\mathrm{rel}}^{2n-i-1}(X,n)\Bigr)$$

whose composition with the evaluation at $\mathrm{ch}_n^{\mathrm{rel}}$ is the relative Chern character $\mathrm{ch}_{n,i}^{\mathrm{rel}}$.

Similarly, applying π_i to (5.10) and composing with the evaluation at $\mathrm{ch}_n^{\mathrm{syn}}$ gives the syntomic regulator $\mathrm{ch}_{n,i}^{\mathrm{syn}} \colon K_i(X) \to H_{\mathrm{syn}}^{2n-i}(X,n)$.

Recall from (5.12) that the map from relative to syntomic cohomology is given by the composition

$$H_{\mathrm{rel}}^{*-1}(X,n) \xleftarrow{\cong} H^{*-1}(F^n \widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/K) \to \widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{rig}}(X_k/K)_{\hat{X}}) \to H_{\mathrm{syn}}^*(X,n).$$

We will prove the theorem by constructing the dotted arrows in the following diagram and show that every single piece of the diagram commutes:

(5.14)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & K_i^{\mathrm{rel}}(X) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, dotted, "\widetilde{\mathrm{ch}}_{n,i}^{\mathrm{rel}}"] \arrow[ddl, bend right, "\mathrm{ch}_{n,i}^{\mathrm{rel}}"'] & K_i(X) \arrow[d, dotted, "\widetilde{\mathrm{ch}}_{n,i}^{\mathrm{syn}}"'] \arrow[dd, bend left=60, "\mathrm{ch}_{n,i}^{\mathrm{syn}}"] \\
 & H^{2n-i-1}\genfrac{(}{)}{0pt}{}{F^n\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/K)\to}{\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{rig}}(X_k\times\Delta_k^\bullet)_{\hat{X}\times\hat{\Delta}^\bullet}} \arrow[r] & H^{2n-i-1}\genfrac{(}{)}{0pt}{}{F^n\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/K)\to}{\Phi(n)(X_k\times\Delta_k^\bullet)} \\
H_{\mathrm{rel}}^{2n-i-1}(X,n) & H^{2n-i-1}\genfrac{(}{)}{0pt}{}{F^n\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/K)\to}{\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{rig}}(X_k/K)_{\hat{X}}} \arrow[l, "\cong"'] \arrow[u, "\cong"] \arrow[r] & H_{\mathrm{syn}}^{2n-i}(X,n) \arrow[u, "\cong"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

We begin with the boxed left hand side of the diagram. The dotted arrow $\widetilde{\mathrm{ch}}_{n,i}^{\mathrm{rel}}$ is obtained as follows (cf. (4.13)): We have the chain of natural maps

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.15)\quad & \operatorname{diag}\mathscr{F}(A) \xrightarrow{\text{Hur.}} \mathbf{Z}\operatorname{diag}\mathscr{F}(A) \xleftarrow{\simeq} \mathbf{Z}\operatorname{diag}F(A) \xrightarrow[\simeq]{AW} \operatorname{Tot}\mathbf{Z}F(A) \xrightarrow{\text{Lemma 4.5}} \\
& \operatorname{Tot}\mathbf{Z}\left(\operatorname{Hom}(X, B_\bullet\operatorname{GL}) \times_{\operatorname{Hom}(\hat{X}\times\hat{\Delta}^\bullet, B_\bullet\hat{\operatorname{GL}})} \operatorname{Hom}(\hat{X}\times\hat{\Delta}^\bullet, E_\bullet\hat{\operatorname{GL}})\right) \\
& \xrightarrow{(*)} \underline{\operatorname{Hom}}\Bigl(\operatorname{Cone}(F^n\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR}}(B_\bullet\operatorname{GL}/K) \to \widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{rig}}(E_\bullet\operatorname{GL}_k/K)_{E_\bullet\hat{\operatorname{GL}}}), \\
& \qquad \operatorname{Cone}(F^n\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/K) \to \widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{rig}}(X_k\times\Delta_k^\bullet/K)_{\hat{X}\times\hat{\Delta}^\bullet})\Bigr).
\end{aligned}$$

Here the arrow $(*)$ is defined as in Lemma 4.6 using the functoriality of the complexes $F^n\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR}}$ and $\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{rig}}$ from 5.3. Applying π_i to (5.15) gives

(5.16)

$$K_i^{\mathrm{rel}}(X) \to \operatorname{Hom}\begin{pmatrix} H^{2n-1}(F^n\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR}}(B_\bullet\operatorname{GL}/K) \to \widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{rig}}(E_\bullet\operatorname{GL}_k/K))_{E_\bullet\hat{\operatorname{GL}}}, \\ H^{2n-i-1}(F^n\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/K) \to \widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{rig}}(X_k\times\Delta_k^\bullet/K)_{\hat{X}\times\hat{\Delta}^\bullet}) \end{pmatrix}$$

Exactly as in Lemma 4.22 there is a natural isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}
& H^{2n-1}\left(F^n\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR}}(B_\bullet\operatorname{GL}/K) \to \widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{rig}}(E_\bullet\operatorname{GL}_k/K)_{E_\bullet\hat{\operatorname{GL}}}\right) \\
& \qquad \cong H^{2n}(F^n\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR}}(B_\bullet\operatorname{GL}/K)) = F^nH_{\mathrm{dR}}^{2n}(B_\bullet\operatorname{GL}_K/K)
\end{aligned}$$

and we define $\widetilde{\mathrm{ch}}_n^{\mathrm{rel}}$ in the first group to be the unique preimage of $\mathrm{ch}_n^{\mathrm{dR}}$. Then $\widetilde{\mathrm{ch}}_{n,i}^{\mathrm{rel}}$ is the composition of (5.16) with the evaluation at $\widetilde{\mathrm{ch}}_n^{\mathrm{rel}}$.

Using that by construction the relative Chern character $\mathrm{ch}_{n,i}^{\mathrm{rel}}$ factors through $H^{2n-i-1}\left(F^n R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_K/K) \to R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(\hat{X}_K \times \hat{\Delta}_K^\bullet/K)\right)$ and the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^{2n-i-1}\left(\substack{F^n R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_K/K)\to \\ R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(\hat{X}_K\times\hat{\Delta}_K^\bullet/K)}\right) & H^{2n-i-1}\left(\substack{F^n \widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/K)\to \\ \widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{rig}}(X_k\times\Delta_k^\bullet)_{\hat{X}\times\hat{\Delta}^\bullet}}\right) \arrow[l, "\cong"'] \\
H_{\mathrm{rel}}^{2n-i-1}(X,n) \arrow[u, "\cong"] & H^{2n-i-1}\left(\substack{F^n \widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/K)\to \\ \widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{rig}}(X_k/K)_{\hat{X}}}\right) \arrow[l, "\cong"'] \arrow[u, "\cong"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

it is easy to establish the commutativity of the left hand side of the diagram.

Next we come to the description of $\widetilde{\mathrm{ch}}_{n,i}^{\mathrm{syn}}$. First of all we have the natural maps

$$\mathbf{Z}_\infty B_\bullet \mathrm{GL}(A) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Hur.}} \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{Z}_\infty B_\bullet \mathrm{GL}(A) \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Z} B_\bullet \mathrm{GL}(A). \tag{5.17}$$

To make the connection with the relative Chern character we now have to view $B_\bullet \mathrm{GL}(A)$ as the diagonal of the bisimplicial set $[p],[q] \mapsto B_p \mathrm{GL}(A)$ which is constant in vertical direction. Then again we have the Alexander Whitney map

$$AW\colon \mathbf{Z}B_\bullet \mathrm{GL}(A) = \mathbf{Z}\operatorname{diag} B_\bullet \mathrm{GL}(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Tot}\mathbf{Z}B_\bullet \mathrm{GL}(A). \tag{5.18}$$

A (p,q)-simplex in $B_\bullet \mathrm{GL}(A)$, i.e. a morphism $f\colon X \to B_p \mathrm{GL}$, induces morphisms of complexes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F^n\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR}}(B_p \mathrm{GL}/K) \arrow[r, "f^*"] \arrow[d] & F^n\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/K) \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d] & F^n\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/K) \arrow[d] \\
\Phi(n)(B_p \mathrm{GL}_k) \arrow[r, "f_k^*"] & \Phi(n)(X_k) \arrow[r, "(\mathrm{proj.})^*"] & \Phi(n)(X_k\times\Delta_k^q),
\end{tikzcd}$$

hence

$$R\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(B_p \mathrm{GL}, n) \to \operatorname{Cone}\left(F^n\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/K) \to \Phi(n)(X_k\times\Delta_k^q)\right)[-1].$$

As in Lemma 4.6 this induces

$$\begin{split}\operatorname{Tot}\mathbf{Z}B_\bullet \mathrm{GL}(A) \to \\ \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}\left(R\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(B_\bullet \mathrm{GL}, n), \operatorname{Cone}\left(F^n\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/K) \to \Phi(n)(X_k\times\Delta_k^\bullet)\right)[-1]\right).\end{split} \tag{5.19}$$

Applying π_i to the composition of (5.17), (5.18), and (5.19) gives

$$\begin{split}K_i(X) \to \\ \operatorname{Hom}\left(H_{\mathrm{syn}}^{2n}(B_\bullet \mathrm{GL}, n), H^{2n-i-1}(\operatorname{Cone}(F^n\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/K) \to \Phi(n)(X_k\times\Delta_k^\bullet)))\right)\end{split} \tag{5.20}$$

and $\widetilde{\mathrm{ch}}_{n,i}^{\mathrm{syn}}$ is the composition of (5.20) with the evaluation at $\mathrm{ch}_n^{\mathrm{syn}}$.

To establish the commutativity of the right boxed part of diagram (5.14) consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{Z}\operatorname{diag}B_\bullet\mathrm{GL}(A) \arrow[d, "AW", "\sim"'] \arrow[dr, equal] & \\
\operatorname{Tot}\mathbf{Z}B_\bullet\mathrm{GL}(A) \arrow[d] & \mathbf{Z}B_\bullet\mathrm{GL}(A) \arrow[l, hook', "\text{incl. in the 0-th row}", "\sim"'] \arrow[d] \\
\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}\left(\substack{R\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(B_\bullet\mathrm{GL},n),\\ \mathrm{Cone}(F^n\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/K)\to\Phi(n)(X_k\times\Delta^\bullet_k))[-1]}\right) & \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(R\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(B_\bullet\mathrm{GL},n),R\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(X,n)) \arrow[l, "\sim"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

It is easy to check that the square commutes, whereas the triangle commutes on homology by Lemma 5.21 below. With this the commutativity of the right part of (5.14) follows directly from the constructions of $\mathrm{ch}^{\mathrm{syn}}_{n,i}$ and $\widetilde{\mathrm{ch}}^{\mathrm{syn}}_{n,i}$, respectively.

So we are now reduced to show the commutativity of the top square in (5.14). To do this, we have to look at this part in more detail. Consider the big diagram on page 23 and assume for a moment that we already established its commutativity (up to homotopy). Taking homotopy (resp. homology) groups and identifying $H^*(\mathrm{Cone}(F^n\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/K)\to\Phi(n)(X_k\times\Delta^\bullet_k)))=H^{*+1}_{\mathrm{syn}}(X,n)$ this then gives a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_i(X) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Hom}\left(\substack{H^{2n-1}(F^n\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR}}(B_\bullet\mathrm{GL}/K)\to\Phi(n)(B_\bullet\mathrm{GL}_k)),\\ H^{2n-i}_{\mathrm{syn}}(X,n)}\right) \\
 & \mathrm{Hom}\left(\substack{H^{2n-1}(F^n\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR}}(B_\bullet\mathrm{GL}/K)\to\Phi(n)(E_\bullet\mathrm{GL}_k)),\\ H^{2n-i}_{\mathrm{syn}}(X,n)}\right) \arrow[u, "\cong"] \arrow[d, "\cong"'] \\
K_i^{\mathrm{rel}}(X) \arrow[uu] \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Hom}\left(\substack{H^{2n-1}(F^n\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR}}(B_\bullet\mathrm{GL}/K)\to\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{rig}}(E_\bullet\mathrm{GL}_k/K)_{E_\bullet\widehat{\mathrm{GL}}}),\\ H^{2n-i}_{\mathrm{syn}}(X,n)}\right)
\end{tikzcd}$$

and, by construction, the composition of the upper horizontal map with the evaluation at $\mathrm{ch}^{\mathrm{syn}}_n$ is $\widetilde{\mathrm{ch}}^{\mathrm{syn}}_{n,i}$ and the composition of the lower horzontal map with the evaluation at $\widetilde{\mathrm{ch}}^{\mathrm{rel}}_n$ is $\widetilde{\mathrm{ch}}^{\mathrm{rel}}_{n,i}$. So to deduce the assertion of the Theorem we have to prove that $\mathrm{ch}^{\mathrm{syn}}_n\in H^{2n-1}(F^n\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR}}(B_\bullet\mathrm{GL}/K)\to\Phi(n)(B_\bullet\mathrm{GL}_k))$ and $\widetilde{\mathrm{ch}}^{\mathrm{rel}}_n\in H^{2n-1}(F^n\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR}}(B_\bullet\mathrm{GL}/K)\to\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{rig}}(E_\bullet\mathrm{GL}_k/K)_{E_\bullet\widehat{\mathrm{GL}}})$ are mapped to the same element in $H^{2n-1}(F^n\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR}}(B_\bullet\mathrm{GL}/K)\to\Phi(n)(E_\bullet\mathrm{GL}_k))$. But this is indeed the case since all three groups are naturally isomorphic to $H^{2n}(F^n\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR}}(B_\bullet\mathrm{GL}/K))=F^nH^{2n}_{\mathrm{dR}}(B_\bullet\mathrm{GL}_K/K)$ and by definition $\widetilde{\mathrm{ch}}^{\mathrm{rel}}_n$ and $\mathrm{ch}^{\mathrm{syn}}_n$ both map to the same class in $F^nH^{2n}_{\mathrm{dR}}(B_\bullet\mathrm{GL}_K/K)$ namely to $\mathrm{ch}^{\mathrm{dR}}_n$.

It remains to show the commutativity of the diagram on page 23. The horizontal maps in the first five rows are all induced by the projections $\mathscr{F}(A)\to\mathbf{Z}_\infty B_\bullet\mathrm{GL}(A)$ and $F(A)\to B_\bullet\mathrm{GL}(A)$, respectively, and the commutativity of this part follows from the naturality of the constructions involved.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\operatorname{diag}\mathscr{F}(A) \arrow[rr] \arrow[d, "\text{Hur.}"'] & & \mathbf{Z}_\infty B_\bullet\,\mathrm{GL}(A) \arrow[d, "\text{Hur.}"'] \\
\mathbf{Z}\operatorname{diag}\mathscr{F}(A) \arrow[rr] & & \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{Z}_\infty B_\bullet\,\mathrm{GL}(A) \\
\mathbf{Z}\operatorname{diag}F(A) \arrow[rr] \arrow[u, "\simeq"] \arrow[d, "AW"', "\simeq"] & & \mathbf{Z}B_\bullet\,\mathrm{GL}(A) \arrow[u, "\simeq"] \arrow[d, "AW"', "\simeq"] \\
\operatorname{Tot}\mathbf{Z}F(A) \arrow[rr] \arrow[d] & & \operatorname{Tot}\mathbf{Z}B_\bullet\,\mathrm{GL}(A) \arrow[d] \\
\operatorname{Tot}\mathbf{Z}\{\mathrm{Hom}(X, B_\bullet\,\mathrm{GL}) \underset{\mathrm{Hom}(\hat{X}\times\hat{\Delta}^\bullet, B_\bullet\hat{\mathrm{GL}})}{\times} \mathrm{Hom}(\hat{X}\times\hat{\Delta}^\bullet, E_\bullet\hat{\mathrm{GL}})\} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \operatorname{Tot}\mathbf{Z}\{\mathrm{Hom}(X, B_\bullet\,\mathrm{GL}) \underset{\mathrm{Hom}(X_k\times\Delta^\bullet_k, B_\bullet\,\mathrm{GL}_k)}{\times} \mathrm{Hom}(X\times\Delta^\bullet_k, E_\bullet\,\mathrm{GL}_k)\} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \operatorname{Tot}\mathbf{Z}\,\mathrm{Hom}(X, B_\bullet\,\mathrm{GL}) \arrow[d] \\
\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}\left(\substack{\mathrm{Cone}(F^n\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR}}(B_\bullet\,\mathrm{GL}/K)\to\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{rig}}(E_\bullet\,\mathrm{GL}_k/K)_{E_\bullet\hat{\mathrm{GL}}}),\\ \mathrm{Cone}(F^n\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/K)\to\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{rig}}(X_k\times\Delta^\bullet_k/K)_{\hat{X}\times\hat{\Delta}^\bullet})}\right) \arrow[d] & \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}\left(\substack{\mathrm{Cone}(F^n\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR}}(B_\bullet\,\mathrm{GL}/K)\to\Phi(n)(E_\bullet\,\mathrm{GL}_k)),\\ \mathrm{Cone}(F^n\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/K)\to\Phi(n)(X_k\times\Delta^\bullet_k))}\right) \arrow[r] \arrow[dl] & \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}\left(\substack{\mathrm{Cone}(F^n\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR}}(B_\bullet\,\mathrm{GL}/K)\to\Phi(n)(B_\bullet\,\mathrm{GL}_k)),\\ \mathrm{Cone}(F^n\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/K)\to\Phi(n)(X_k\times\Delta^\bullet_k))}\right) \\
\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}\left(\substack{\mathrm{Cone}(F^n\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR}}(B_\bullet\,\mathrm{GL}/K)\to\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{rig}}(E_\bullet\,\mathrm{GL}_k/K)_{E_\bullet\hat{\mathrm{GL}}}),\\ \mathrm{Cone}(F^n\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/K)\to\Phi(n)(X_k\times\Delta^\bullet_k))}\right) & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

For the lower left part we start with a (p,q)-simplex $(f,\hat{g})$ in $\mathrm{Hom}(X, B_\bullet \mathrm{GL}) \times_{\mathrm{Hom}(\hat{X}\times\hat{\Delta}^\bullet, B_\bullet \widehat{\mathrm{GL}})} \mathrm{Hom}(\hat{X} \times \hat{\Delta}^\bullet, E_\bullet \widehat{\mathrm{GL}})$. That is, f is a morphism $X \to B_p \mathrm{GL}$ and $\hat{g}$ is a morphism $\hat{X} \times \hat{\Delta}^q \to E_p \widehat{\mathrm{GL}}$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\hat{X} \times \hat{\Delta}^q \arrow[r, "\hat{g}"] \arrow[d] & E_p\widehat{\mathrm{GL}} \arrow[d] \\
\hat{X} \arrow[r, "\hat{f}"] & B_p\widehat{\mathrm{GL}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. Let $g_k\colon X_k \times \Delta^q_k \to E_p \mathrm{GL}_k$ be the map induced by $\hat{g}$ on special fibres. Then $(g_k, \hat{g})$ is a morphism of rigid pairs $(X_k \times \Delta^q_k, \hat{X} \times \hat{\Delta}^q) \to (E_p \mathrm{GL}_k, E_p\widehat{\mathrm{GL}})$.

The image of $(f,\hat{g})$ in the respective $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}$-complexes is (up to sign) given by the pullback maps induced by f, $\hat{g}$, and g_k, on the various cones. Now the pullback on the first component of the cone is in all cases given by $f^*\colon F^n\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR}}(B_p \mathrm{GL}/K) \to F^n\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/K)$. Hence we can restrict our attention to the second component of the cones. Then the commutativity will follow if the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{rig}}(E_p \mathrm{GL}_k/K)_{E_p\widehat{\mathrm{GL}}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "(g_k,\hat{g})^*"'] & \Phi(n)(E_p \mathrm{GL}_k) \arrow[d, "g_k^*"] \\
\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{rig}}(X_k \times \Delta^q_k/K)_{\hat{X}\times\hat{\Delta}^q} \arrow[r] & \Phi(n)(X_k \times \Delta^q_k)
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. But this is indeed the case as follows from the functorial properties of the complexes (cf. Proposition 5.1 (ii) and (5.5)).

Similarly one sees that the lower right square of the diagram on page 23 commutes. This concludes the proof of the Theorem. □

Lemma 5.21. *Let $A_\bullet$ be a simplicial abelian group and consider it as a bisimplicial abelian group $A_{\bullet\bullet}$ that is constant in vertical direction. Hence we have an associated complex and double complex, also denoted simply by $A_\bullet$ and $A_{\bullet\bullet}$, respectively. Then the Alexander-Whitney map $A_\bullet = \mathrm{diag}\, A_{\bullet\bullet} \xrightarrow{AW} \mathrm{Tot}(A_{\bullet\bullet})$ and the inclusion of $A_\bullet$ in the zeroth row of the double complex induce the same isomorphism in homology.*

Proof. Since all vertical face operators of $A_{\bullet\bullet}$ are given by the identity, the vertical differentials $d^v_{p,0} : A_{p,1} \to A_{p,0}$ are zero for all p. Hence the projection on the zeroth row is a morphism of complexes $\mathrm{Tot}\, A_{\bullet\bullet} \to A_\bullet$ which is left inverse to the inclusion. Using the explicit description of AW in [45, 8.5.4] one sees that this projection is also a left inverse of the Alexander-Whitney map, which is known to induce an isomorphism on homology [45, 8.5.1]. □

5.4. **Applications.** In this whole section we assume that the residue field k of R is finite.

Let X be a smooth and proper R-scheme. Then the étale Chern character class induces a map $\mathrm{ch}^{\text{ét}}_{n,i}\colon K_i(X) \to H^{2n-i}_{\text{ét}}(X_K, \mathbf{Q}_p(n))$. It follows from the crystalline Weil conjectures [13] and Faltings' crystalline comparison theorem [18] that $H^{2n-i}_{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbf{Q}_p(n))^{G_K} = 0$ for $i > 0$, where $\overline{K}$ denotes an algebraic closure of K and $G_K = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$. Hence the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence for $X_{\overline{K}} \to$

X_K induces an edge morphism $H^{2n-i}_{\text{ét}}(X_K, \mathbf{Q}_p(n)) = F^1 H^{2n-i}_{\text{ét}}(X_K, \mathbf{Q}_p(n)) \to H^1(G_K, H^{2n-i-1}_{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbf{Q}_p(n)))$ and the composition

$$r_p\colon K_i(X) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ch}^{\text{ét}}_{n,i}} H^{2n-i}_{\text{ét}}(X_K, \mathbf{Q}_p(n)) \xrightarrow{\text{edge}} H^1(G_K, H^{2n-i-1}_{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbf{Q}_p(n))) \tag{5.22}$$

is the *étale p-adic regulator.*

According to the de Rham comparison theorem [18] we have an isomorphism of filtered vector spaces

$$D_{\text{dR}}(H^{2n-i-1}_{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbf{Q}_p(n))) \cong H^{2n-i-1}_{\text{dR}}(X_K/K)(n)$$

where the twist by n on the right hand side only shifts the filtration. Hence

$$D_{\text{dR}}(H^{2n-i-1}_{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbf{Q}_p(n)))/F^0 \cong H^{2n-i-1}_{\text{dR}}(X_K/K)/F^n \cong H^{2n-i-1}_{\text{rel}}(X, n)$$

(see Remark 4.25). In particular, the Bloch-Kato exponential for the G_K-representation $H^{2n-i-1}_{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbf{Q}_p(n))$ is a map

$$\exp\colon H^{2n-i-1}_{\text{dR}}(X_K/K)/F^n \to H^1(G_K, H^{2n-i-1}_{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbf{Q}_p(n)))$$

and from Nizioł's work we get the

Corollary 5.23. *Let X be a smooth, projective R-scheme. Then the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K^{\text{rel}}_i(X) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{ch}^{\text{rel}}_{n,i}"] & K_i(X) \arrow[d, "r_p"] \\
H^{2n-i-1}_{\text{dR}}(X_K/K)/F^n \arrow[r, "\exp"] & H^1(G_K, H^{2n-i-1}_{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbf{Q}_p(n)))
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes.

Proof. It follows from Nizioł's work [35, 36] and the comparison with Besser's syntomic cohomology [7, Proposition 9.9] that there is a natural map $H^*_{\text{syn}}(X, n) \to H^*_{\text{ét}}(X_K, \mathbf{Q}_p(n))$ which is compatible with Chern classes (*loc. cit.* Corollary 9.10). Moreover by *loc. cit.* Proposition 9.11 the composition $H^{2n-i-1}_{\text{dR}}(X_K/K)/F^n \to H^{2n-i}_{\text{syn}}(X, n) \to H^{2n-i}_{\text{ét}}(X_K, \mathbf{Q}_p(n)) \to H^1(G_K, H^{2n-i-1}_{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbf{Q}_p(n)))$ is the Bloch-Kato exponential for $H^{2n-i-1}_{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbf{Q}_p(n))$. Hence the claim follows from the comparison of the relative Chern character with the syntomic regulator in Theorem 5.13. □

Remark 5.24. The argument in the proof of Lemma 2.6 shows that $K^i_{\text{top}}(X)$ is isomorphic to the algebraic K-group of the reduction $K_i(X_k)$. For X smooth and projective these groups are conjectured to be torsion (Parshin's conjecture). This would imply that $K^{\text{rel}}_i(X) \to K_i(X)$ is rationally in isomorphism. It follows from [25] and [37] that this conjecture is true for $\dim X \le 1$.

From the previous corollary together with our earlier work [39, 40], we also get a new proof of the main result of [27]: Huber and Kings introduce the *p-adic Borel regulator* $r_{\text{Bo},p}\colon K_{2n-1}(R) \to K$ by imitating the construction of the classical Borel regulator for the field of complex numbers, replacing the van Est isomorphism by the Lazard isomorphism.

Corollary 5.25 (Huber-Kings [27]). *Let K be a finite extension of $\mathbf{Q}_p$. The diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_{2n-1}(R) \arrow[d, "\frac{(-1)^n}{(n-1)!} r_{\mathrm{Bo},p}"'] \arrow[dr, "r_p"] & \\
K = D_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathbf{Q}_p(n)) \arrow[r, "\exp_{\mathrm{BK}}"] & H^1(G_K, \mathbf{Q}_p(n))
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes.

Remark. The factor $\frac{(-1)^n}{(n-1)!}$ appears since Huber and Kings use Chern classes in the normalization of both, the étale and the p-adic Borel regulator, whereas we used Chern character classes in the definition of the étale regulator.

Proof. Apply the previous corollary with $X = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$, $i = 2n-1$. By Calvo's Theorem [11] $K^{-i}_{\mathrm{top}}(R) \cong K_i(k)$. Since k is a finite field, its K-groups in positive degrees are finite by Quillen's computations[37]. Hence $K^{\mathrm{rel}}_{2n-1}(R) \to K_{2n-1}(R)$ is rationally an isomorphism. In Theorem 6.15 we will show that the present version of the relative Chern character coincides with Karoubi's original construction. For this we showed in [40, Corollary 7.23] that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K^{\mathrm{rel}}_{2n-1}(R) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{ch}^{\mathrm{rel}}_{n,2n-1}"'] & K_{2n-1}(R) \arrow[dl, "\frac{(-1)^n}{(n-1)!} r_{\mathrm{Bo},p}"] \\
K &
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. ☐

6. Comparison with Karoubi's original construction

In this section we compare our version of the relative Chern character with Karoubi's original construction [30, 31] in the form of [40]. For any smooth, affine R-scheme $X = \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ this is a homomorphism

$$\mathrm{ch}^{\mathrm{Kar}}_{n,i} \colon K^{\mathrm{rel}}_i(X) \to \mathbb{H}^{2n-i-1}(\hat{X}_K, \Omega^{<n}_{\hat{X}_K/K}) = H^{2n-i-1}(\Omega^{<n}(\hat{X}_K)).$$

We recall the main steps in its construction.

6.1. Let $Y_\bullet$ be a simplicial dagger space. A *simplicial* n-form ω on $Y_\bullet$ is a collection of n-forms ω_p on $Y_p \times \hat{\Delta}^p_K$, $p \geq 0$, satisfying $(1 \times \phi_\Delta)^* \omega_p = (\phi_X \times 1)^* \omega_q$ on $Y_p \times \hat{\Delta}^q_K$ for all monotone maps $\phi\colon [q] \to [p]$, ϕ_Δ, ϕ_X denoting the induced (co)simplicial structure maps. We will often denote ω_p by $\omega|_{Y_p \times \hat{\Delta}^p_K}$.

The space of all simplicial n-forms is denoted by $D^n(Y_\bullet)$. Applying the wedge product and exterior differential component-wise makes $D^*(Y_\bullet)$ a commutative differential graded algebra.

For a K-dagger space X we write $\Omega^*(X)$ for the complex of global sections $\Gamma(X, \Omega^*_{X/K})$. Define the total complex $\Omega^*(Y_\bullet)$ as in 4.4. For any X there is a well defined integration map $\int_{\Delta^k} \colon \Omega^n(X \times \hat{\Delta}^k_K) \to \Omega^{n-k}(X)$ satisfying the usual properties [40, Remark 5.9] and by Dupont's Theorem (cf. [40, Theorem 5.6])

$$I \colon D^*(Y_\bullet) \to \Omega^*(Y_\bullet), \quad \omega \mapsto \sum_k \int_{\Delta^k} \omega|_{Y_k \times \hat{\Delta}^k_K} \tag{6.2}$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

Moreover, there is a natural filtration on $D^*(Y_\bullet)$ [40, Remark 5.2(ii)] and I is a *filtered* quasi-isomorphism when $\Omega^*(Y_\bullet)$ is given the filtration by rows, i.e. the filtration induced by the naive truncation of Ω^*.

6.3. Let X be any smooth, affine R-scheme with generic fibre X_K. Recall the definition of the de Rham complexes $F^nR\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_K/K)$ from (3.6). Similarly as in (3.5), (3.7) there is a natural map

$$F^nR\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_K/K) \to \Gamma(X_K, \mathrm{Gd}_{Pt(X_K^\dagger)\sqcup Pt(X_K)}\,\Omega^{\geq n}_{X_K/K}) \tag{6.4}$$

where the complex on the right computes the hypercohomology of $\Omega^{\geq n}_{X_K/K}$ on X_K. Since X_K is affine the sheaves $\Omega^i_{X_K/K}$ are acyclic and hence the natural augmentation

$$\Gamma(X_K, \Omega^{\geq n}_{X_K/K}) \to \Gamma(X_K, \mathrm{Gd}_{Pt(X_K^\dagger)\sqcup Pt(X_K)}\,\Omega^{\geq n}_{X_K/K}) \tag{6.5}$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. Composing (6.4), (6.5), and the natural map $\Gamma(X_K, \Omega^{\geq n}_{X_K/K}) \to \Gamma(X_K^\dagger, \Omega^{\geq n}_{X_K^\dagger/K}) \to \Gamma(\hat{X}_K, \Omega^{\geq n}_{\hat{X}_K/K})$ we get a natural chain of morphisms (the arrow pointing in the wrong direction being a quasiisomorphism)

$$F^nR\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_K/K) \to \xleftarrow{\sim} \Gamma(\hat{X}_K, \Omega^{\geq n}_{\hat{X}_K/K}). \tag{6.6}$$

If $Y_\bullet$ is simplicial smooth, affine R-scheme this together with the quasi-isomorphism I (6.2) gives a natural chain of morphisms

$$F^nR\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(Y_{K,\bullet}/K) \to \xleftarrow{\sim} F^nD^*(\hat{Y}_{K,\bullet}) \tag{6.7}$$

We apply (6.7) for $B_\bullet\,\mathrm{GL}$ to get a map

$$F^nH^{2n}_{\mathrm{dR}}(B_\bullet\,\mathrm{GL}_K/K) \to H^{2n}(D^*(B_\bullet\hat{\mathrm{GL}}_K)). \tag{6.8}$$

Similarly as in Lemma 4.22 there is an isomorphism

$$H^{2n-1}\Big(\mathrm{Cone}(F^nD^*(B_\bullet\hat{\mathrm{GL}}_K) \to D^*(E_\bullet\hat{\mathrm{GL}}_K))\Big) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^{2n}(D^*(B_\bullet\hat{\mathrm{GL}}_K))$$

and we define

$$\mathrm{ch}_n^{\mathrm{Kar}} \in H^{2n-1}\Big(\mathrm{Cone}(F^nD^*(B_\bullet\hat{\mathrm{GL}}_K) \to D^*(E_\bullet\hat{\mathrm{GL}}_K))\Big) \tag{6.9}$$

to be the unique element that maps to the image of $\mathrm{ch}_n^{\mathrm{dR}}$ in $H^{2n}(D^*(B_\bullet\hat{\mathrm{GL}}_K))$ under (6.8).

Remark. Originally Karoubi used Chern-Weil theory to construct the relevant characteristic classes. This is the reason for the use of the dga $D^*(B_\bullet\hat{\mathrm{GL}}_K)$. One advantage is that it gives more explicit formulas for the relative Chern character. For instance, these are used for the comparison theorem in [39] and also in the work of Choo and Snaith [14]. However, it was checked in [40, Proposition 5.13] that the present approach yields the same classes as the Chern-Weil theoretic one.

6.10. Let $X = \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ be a smooth, affine R-scheme. Karoubi's relative Chern character $\mathrm{ch}^{\mathrm{Kar}}_{n,i}$ is the composition of the Hurewicz map $K_i^{\mathrm{rel}}(X) \to H_i(\mathrm{diag}\,\mathscr{F}(A), \mathbf{Z}) \cong H_i(\mathrm{diag}\,F(A), \mathbf{Z})$ with a map $H_i(\mathrm{diag}\,F(A), \mathbf{Z}) \to H^{2n-i-1}(\Omega^{<n}(\hat{X}_K))$ constructed as follows (cf. e.g. [40, Remark 3.6(ii)]):

An i-simplex σ in $\operatorname{diag} F(A)$ defines a pair of morphisms $\hat{X}_K \to B_i\hat{\mathrm{GL}}_K$ and $\hat{X}_K \times \hat{\Delta}^i_K \to E_i\hat{\mathrm{GL}}_K$ such that

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\hat{X}_K \times \hat{\Delta}^i_K \arrow[r, "\sigma"] \arrow[d, "\text{proj.}"'] & E_i\hat{\mathrm{GL}}_K \arrow[d, "p"] \\
\hat{X}_K \arrow[r, "\sigma"] & B_i\hat{\mathrm{GL}}_K
\end{tikzcd}$$

and hence

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & E_i\hat{\mathrm{GL}}_K \times \hat{\Delta}^i_K \arrow[d, "p\times \mathrm{id}_{\Delta^i}"] \\
\hat{X}_K \times \hat{\Delta}^i_K \arrow[ru, "{(\sigma,\mathrm{id}_{\Delta^i})}"] \arrow[r, "\sigma\times\mathrm{id}_{\Delta^i}"] & B_i\hat{\mathrm{GL}}_K \times \hat{\Delta}^i_K
\end{tikzcd}$$

commute (cf. Lemma 4.5). By construction $\mathrm{ch}_n^{\mathrm{Kar}}$ is given by a pair of a simplicial $2n$-form on $B_\bullet\hat{\mathrm{GL}}_K$ and a simplicial $2n-1$-form on $E_\bullet\hat{\mathrm{GL}}_K$. The second of the above diagrams makes it clear that when we restrict both forms to the component in simplicial degree i and then pull them back to $\hat{X}_K \times \hat{\Delta}^i_K$ via σ, we get a cycle denoted $(\sigma, \mathrm{id}_{\Delta^i})^* \mathrm{ch}_n^{\mathrm{Kar}}|_{E_i\hat{\mathrm{GL}}_K\times\hat{\Delta}^i_K}$ of degree $2n-1$ in $\mathrm{Cone}(\Omega^{\geq n}(\hat{X}_K \times \hat{\Delta}^i_K) \to \Omega^*(\hat{X}_K \times \hat{\Delta}^i_K))$.

Integrating along Δ^i gives a cochain (in general not a cocycle) of degree $2n-i-1$ in $\mathrm{Cone}(\Omega^{\geq n}(\hat{X}_K) \to \Omega^*(\hat{X}_K))$. One checks that this construction induces a well defined homomorphism

(6.11)

$$H_i(\operatorname{diag} F(A), \mathbf{Z}) \to H^{2n-i-1}\left(\mathrm{Cone}(\Omega^{\geq n}(\hat{X}_K) \to \Omega^*(\hat{X}_K))\right) \cong H^{2n-i-1}(\Omega^{<n}(\hat{X}_K))$$

and by definition Karoubi's relative Chern character is the composition

$$\mathrm{ch}^{\mathrm{Kar}}_{n,i}\colon K_i^{\mathrm{rel}}(X) \xrightarrow{\text{Hur.}} H_i(\operatorname{diag} F(A), \mathbf{Z}) \xrightarrow{(6.11)} H^{2n-i-1}(\Omega^{<n}(\hat{X}_K)). \tag{6.12}$$

To compare this with our version of the relative Chern character we need the following

Lemma 6.13. *Let X be a smooth, affine R-scheme. There is a natural map*

$$H^*_{\mathrm{rel}}(X,n) \to H^*\left(\mathrm{Cone}(\Omega^{\geq n}(\hat{X}_K) \to \Omega^*(\hat{X}_K))\right) \cong H^*(\Omega^{<n}(\hat{X}_K)) \tag{6.14}$$

Proof. By definition $H^*_{\mathrm{rel}}(X,n)$ is the cohomology of $\mathrm{Cone}(F^nR\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_K/K) \to R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(\hat{X}_K/K))$. Since X is affine we have the natural chain of morphisms (6.6) $F^nR\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_K/K) \to \xleftarrow{\sim} \Omega^{\geq n}(\hat{X}_K)$ and similarly $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(\hat{X}_K/K) \xleftarrow{\sim} \Omega^*(\hat{X}_K)$. These together induce the desired chain of maps

$$\mathrm{Cone}(F^nR\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_K/K) \to R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(\hat{X}_K/K)) \to \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Cone}(\Omega^{\geq n}(\hat{X}_K) \to \Omega^*(\hat{X}_K)). \quad \square$$

Theorem 6.15. *Let X be a smooth, affine R-scheme. The composition of the relative Chern character $\mathrm{ch}^{\mathrm{rel}}_{n,i}$ of Definition 4.23 with the comparison map* (6.14) *coincides with Karoubi's relative Chern character $\mathrm{ch}^{\mathrm{Kar}}_{n,i}$* (6.12).

Proof. Since $X = \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ is supposed to be affine we have the simplified description of the relative Chern character $\mathrm{ch}^{\mathrm{rel}}_{n,i}$ as in the proof of Theorem 5.13. We again use the simplified notation for the cohomology of a cone.

It is easy to see that the composition

$$K_i^{\mathrm{rel}}(X) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ch}^{\mathrm{rel}}_{n,i}} H^{2n-i-1}_{\mathrm{rel}}(X,n) \xrightarrow{(6.14)} H^{2n-i-1}\left(\Omega^{\geq n}(\hat{X}_K) \to \Omega^*(\hat{X}_K)\right) \tag{6.16}$$

is given as follows: Similarly as in Lemmas 4.5 and 4.6 there are natural maps

$$\begin{aligned}(6.17)\quad \pi_i(\operatorname{Tot}\mathbf{Z}F(A)) \to \operatorname{Hom}\Big(& H^{2n-1}(\Omega^{\geq n}(B_\bullet\hat{\mathrm{GL}}_K) \to \Omega^*(E_\bullet\hat{\mathrm{GL}}_K)), \\ & H^{2n-i-1}(\Omega^{\geq n}(\hat{X}_K) \to \Omega^*(\hat{X}_K \times \hat{\Delta}^\bullet_K))\Big) \xleftarrow{\cong} \\ \operatorname{Hom}\Big(H^{2n-1}(\Omega^{\geq n}(B_\bullet\hat{\mathrm{GL}}_K) \to \Omega^*(E_\bullet\hat{\mathrm{GL}}_K)), & H^{2n-i-1}(\Omega^{\geq n}(\hat{X}_K) \to \Omega^*(\hat{X}_K))\Big).\end{aligned}$$

As in Lemma 6.13 (6.6) induces a natural map

$$\begin{aligned}(6.18)\quad H^{2n-1}\Big(F^nR\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(B_\bullet\,\mathrm{GL}_K/K) \to R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(E_\bullet\,\mathrm{GL}_K^\dagger)\Big) \to \\ H^{2n-1}\Big(\Omega^{\geq n}(B_\bullet\hat{\mathrm{GL}}_K) \to \Omega^*(E_\bullet\hat{\mathrm{GL}}_K)\Big)\end{aligned}$$

and (6.16) is the composition of the Hurewicz map, the Alexander-Whitney map, (6.17), and the evaluation at the image of $\mathrm{ch}_n^{\mathrm{rel}}$ under (6.18). But from the definition of $\mathrm{ch}_n^{\mathrm{Kar}}$ (6.9) it is clear that the image of $\mathrm{ch}_n^{\mathrm{rel}}$ under (6.18) equals $I(\mathrm{ch}_n^{\mathrm{Kar}})$ where we still denote by I the isomorphism induced by I (6.2) on the cohomology of the respective cones.

So we have to show that the diagram
(6.19)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H_i(\operatorname{Tot}\mathbf{Z}F(A)) \arrow[d, "(6.17)"'] & & H_i(\mathbf{Z}\operatorname{diag}F(A)) \arrow[ll, "AW"', "\cong"] \arrow[dd, "(6.11)"] \\
\operatorname{Hom}\left({H^{2n-1}(\Omega^{\geq n}(B_\bullet\hat{\mathrm{GL}}_K)\to\Omega^*(E_\bullet\hat{\mathrm{GL}}_K)), \atop H^{2n-i-1}(\Omega^{\geq n}(\hat{X}_K)\to\Omega^*(\hat{X}_K\times\hat{\Delta}^\bullet_K))}\right) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{eval}_{I(\mathrm{ch}_n^{\mathrm{Kar}})}"'] & & \\
H^{2n-i-1}(\Omega^{\geq n}(\hat{X}_K) \to \Omega^*(\hat{X}_K \times \hat{\Delta}^\bullet_K)) & & H^{2n-i-1}(\Omega^{\geq n}(\hat{X}_K) \to \Omega^*(\hat{X}_K)) \arrow[ll, "\cong"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. This will be done using explicit inverses of the two horizontal isomorphisms.

Lemma 6.20. *A quasi-inverse of* $\Omega^*(\hat{X}_K) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega^*(\hat{X}_K \times \hat{\Delta}^\bullet_K)$ *(cf. Lemma 4.11) is given by integration over the standard simplexes:*

$$\Omega^{k+p}(\hat{X}_K \times \hat{\Delta}^p_K) \to \Omega^k(\hat{X}_K), \omega \mapsto (-1)^{p(p-1)/2}\int_{\Delta^p}\omega$$

Proof. The integration map is obviously left inverse to the inclusion of $\Omega^*(\hat{X}_K)$ as the zeroth column in the double complex $\Omega^*(\hat{X}_K \times \hat{\Delta}^\bullet_K)$. So we only have to check that the integration indeed defines a morphism of complexes. This is straight forward using the following relative version of Stokes' formula

$$\int_{\Delta^p} d\omega = (-1)^p d\int_{\Delta^p}\omega + \sum_{i=0}^{p}(-1)^i\int_{\Delta^{p-1}}(\partial^i)^*\omega$$

and keeping in mind that the total differential on $\Omega^q(\hat{X}_K \times \hat{\Delta}^p_K)$ is given by $\omega \mapsto (-1)^p d\omega + \sum_{i=0}^{p}(-1)^i(\partial^i)^*\omega$. ☐

To show the commutativity of diagram (6.19) we start with a bisimplex $\sigma \in F(A)_{p,i-p}$ so that the degree of σ in $\operatorname{Tot} \mathbf{Z}F(A)$ is i. First we compute its image in $\operatorname{Cone}(\Omega^{\geq n}(\hat{X}_K) \to \Omega^*(\hat{X}_K))$ going counterclockwise. As before, σ is given by a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\hat{X}_K \times \hat{\Delta}_K^{i-p} \arrow[r, "\sigma"] \arrow[d, "\text{proj.}"'] & E_p\hat{\mathrm{GL}}_K \arrow[d] \\
\hat{X}_K \arrow[r, "\sigma"] & B_p\hat{\mathrm{GL}}_K.
\end{tikzcd}$$

The component of $I(\mathrm{ch}_n^{\mathrm{Kar}})$ in simplicial degree p is given by $\int_{\Delta^p} \mathrm{ch}_n^{\mathrm{Kar}} |_{E_p\hat{\mathrm{GL}}_K \times \hat{\Delta}_K^p}$. Its pullback via σ gives a $2n-p-1$-cochain in $\operatorname{Cone}(\Omega^{\geq n}(\hat{X}_K) \to \Omega^*(\hat{X}_K \times \hat{\Delta}_K^{i-p}))$. To get the image of σ in $\operatorname{Cone}(\Omega^{\geq n}(\hat{X}_K) \to \Omega^*(\hat{X}_K))$ we finally have to apply the integration of Lemma 6.20 to this pullback and obtain:

$$\int_{\Delta^{i-p}} \sigma^* \int_{\Delta^p} \mathrm{ch}_n^{\mathrm{Kar}} |_{E_p\hat{\mathrm{GL}}_K \times \hat{\Delta}_K^p} = \int_{\Delta^{i-p}\times\Delta^p} (\sigma \times \mathrm{id}_{\Delta^p})^* \,\mathrm{ch}_n^{\mathrm{Kar}} |_{E_p\hat{\mathrm{GL}}_K \times \hat{\Delta}_K^p} \tag{6.21}$$

Note that there is no sign because the signs introduced via (6.17) (cf. (4.7)) and the integration map of Lemma 6.20 cancel out.

Next we compute the image of σ going through diagram (6.19) clockwise. To do this, we use the following description of a quasi-inverse of the Alexander-Whitney map (cf. [45, 8.5.4]):

Recall that a $(p, i-p)$ shuffle μ is a permutation of $\{1, 2, \ldots, i\}$ such that $\mu(1) < \mu(2) < \cdots < \mu(p)$ and $\mu(p+1) < \cdots < \mu(i)$. There are two associated maps in the simplicial category Δ, namely $\mu_{i-p} \colon [i] \to [i-p]$ given explicitly by $\mu_{i-p} = s^{\mu(1)-1} \circ s^{\mu(2)-1} \circ \cdots \circ s^{\mu(p)-1}$ and $\mu_p \colon [i] \to [p]$, $\mu_p = s^{\mu(p+1)-1} \circ \cdots \circ s^{\mu(i)-1}$. These induce maps μ_p^*, μ_{i-p}^* (resp. μ_*^p, μ_*^{i-p}) on every (co)simplicial object.

In particular we have $\mu_p^* \colon E_p\hat{\mathrm{GL}}_K \to E_i\hat{\mathrm{GL}}_K$ resp. $B_p\hat{\mathrm{GL}}_K \to B_i\hat{\mathrm{GL}}_K$ and $\mu_*^{i-p} \colon \hat{\Delta}_K^i \to \hat{\Delta}_K^{i-p}$. Recall that we started with a $(p, i-p)$-simplex σ in $F(A)$. Write σ_μ for the (i,i)-bisimplex $\mu_p^* \circ \sigma \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\hat{X}_K} \times \mu_*^{i-p})$. Then the inverse of the Alexander-Whitney map sends σ to $\sum_\mu \operatorname{sgn}(\mu)\sigma_\mu$, where the sum runs over all $(p, i-p)$-shuffles μ. The image of this under Karoubi's construction 6.10 is then given by

$$\sum_\mu \operatorname{sgn}(\mu) \int_{\Delta^i} (\sigma_\mu, \mathrm{id}_{\Delta^i})^* \,\mathrm{ch}_n^{\mathrm{Kar}} |_{E_i\hat{\mathrm{GL}}_K \times \hat{\Delta}_K^i}.$$

Thus, to complete the proof we have to show that

$$\int_{\Delta^{i-p}\times\Delta^p} (\sigma \times \mathrm{id}_{\Delta^p})^* \,\mathrm{ch}_n^{\mathrm{Kar}} |_{E_p\hat{\mathrm{GL}}_K \times \hat{\Delta}_K^p} = \sum_\mu \operatorname{sgn}(\mu) \int_{\Delta^i} (\sigma_\mu, \mathrm{id}_{\Delta^i})^* \,\mathrm{ch}_n^{\mathrm{Kar}} |_{E_i\hat{\mathrm{GL}}_K \times \hat{\Delta}_K^i}.$$

Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\hat{X}_K \times \hat{\Delta}^{i-p}_K \times \hat{\Delta}^p_K \arrow[r, "\sigma \times \mathrm{id}_{\Delta^p}"] & E_p\hat{\mathrm{GL}}_K \times \hat{\Delta}^p_K \\
\hat{X}_K \times \hat{\Delta}^{i-p}_K \times \hat{\Delta}^i_K \arrow[u, "\mathrm{id}_X \times \mathrm{id}_{\Delta^{i-p}} \times \mu^p_*"] \arrow[r, "\sigma \times \mathrm{id}_{\Delta^i}"] & E_p\hat{\mathrm{GL}}_K \times \hat{\Delta}^i_K \arrow[u, "\mathrm{id}_{E_p\,\mathrm{GL}} \times \mu^p_*"'] \arrow[d, "\mu_p^* \times \mathrm{id}_{\Delta^i}"'] \\
\hat{X}_K \times \hat{\Delta}^i_K \arrow[u, "\mathrm{id}_X \times (\mu^{i-p}_*, \mathrm{id}_{\Delta^i})"] \arrow[r, "(\sigma_\mu, \mathrm{id}_{\Delta^i})"] & E_i\hat{\mathrm{GL}}_K \times \hat{\Delta}^i_K.
\end{tikzcd}$$

We denote the composition $(\mathrm{id}_{\Delta^{i-p}} \times \mu^p_*) \circ (\mu^{i-p}_*, \mathrm{id}_{\Delta^i}) : \hat{\Delta}^i_K \to \hat{\Delta}^{i-p}_K \times \hat{\Delta}^p_K$ by $\mu^{i-p,p}_*$. Note that this is exactly the map corresponding to the shuffle μ in the standard decomposition of $\Delta^{i-p} \times \Delta^p$ in i-simplexes. It follows from this decomposition that

$$\int_{\Delta^{i-p} \times \Delta^p} (?) = \sum_\mu \operatorname{sgn}(\mu) \int_{\Delta^i} (\mathrm{id}_X \times \mu^{i-p,p}_*)^*(?).$$

Since $\mathrm{ch}^{\mathrm{Kar}}_n$ is a simplicial differential form we have $(\mu_p^* \times \mathrm{id}_{\Delta^i})^* \, \mathrm{ch}^{\mathrm{Kar}}_n |_{E_i\hat{\mathrm{GL}}_K \times \hat{\Delta}^i_K} = (\mathrm{id}_{E_p\hat{\mathrm{GL}}_K} \times \mu^p_*)^* \, \mathrm{ch}^{\mathrm{Kar}}_n |_{E_p\hat{\mathrm{GL}}_K \times \hat{\Delta}^p_K}$. Hence we get

$$(\mathrm{id}_X \times \mu^{i-p,p}_*)^* (\sigma \times \mathrm{id}_{\Delta^p})^* \, \mathrm{ch}^{\mathrm{Kar}}_n |_{E_p\hat{\mathrm{GL}}_K \times \Delta^p} = (\sigma_\mu, \mathrm{id}_{\Delta^i})^* \, \mathrm{ch}^{\mathrm{Kar}}_n |_{E_i\hat{\mathrm{GL}}_K \times \Delta^i}.$$

Setting $(?) = (\sigma \times \mathrm{id}_{\Delta^p})^* \, \mathrm{ch}^{\mathrm{Kar}}_n |_{E_p\hat{\mathrm{GL}}_K \times \Delta^p}$ in the above formula the claim follows. □

REFERENCES

[1] A. Adem, R. L. Cohen, and W. G. Dwyer, *Generalized Tate homology, homotopy fixed points and the transfer*, Algebraic topology (Evanston, IL, 1988), Contemp. Math., vol. 96, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989, pp. 1–13. MR 1022669 (90k:55012)

[2] D. W. Anderson, *Relationship among K-theories*, Algebraic K-theory, I: Higher K-theories (Proc. Conf., Battelle Memorial Inst., Seattle, Wash., 1972), Springer, Berlin, 1973, pp. 57–72. Lecture Notes in Math. Vol. 341. MR MR0349809 (50 #2302)

[3] A. A. Beĭlinson, *Higher regulators and values of L-functions*, Current problems in mathematics, Vol. 24, Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki, Akad. Nauk SSSR Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform., Moscow, 1984, pp. 181–238. MR MR760999 (86h:11103)

[4] Alexander Beilinson, *p-adic periods and derived de Rham cohomology*, arXiv:1102.1294v4, 2011.

[5] Pierre Berthelot, *Cohomologie rigide et cohomologie rigide à supports propres, Première partie (version provisoire 1991)*, Prépublication IRMAR 96-03, January 1996.

[6] ———, *Finitude et pureté cohomologique en cohomologie rigide*, Invent. Math. **128** (1997), no. 2, 329–377, With an appendix in English by Aise Johan de Jong. MR MR1440308 (98j:14023)

[7] Amnon Besser, *Syntomic regulators and p-adic integration. I. Rigid syntomic regulators*, Israel J. Math. **120** (2000), no. part B, 291–334. MR MR1809626 (2002c:14035)

[8] ———, *Syntomic regulators and special values of p-adic L-functions*, August 2009, talk at the workshop "p-adic geometry and homotopy theory" held at Loen, Norway August 2nd – 8th 2009, notes available at http://folk.uio.no/rognes/yff/besser.pdf.

[9] Armand Borel, *Stable real cohomology of arithmetic groups*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **7** (1974), 235–272 (1975). MR 0387496 (52 #8338)

[10] A. K. Bousfield and D. M. Kan, *Homotopy limits, completions and localizations*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 304, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972. MR 0365573 (51 #1825)

[11] Adina Calvo, *K-théorie des anneaux ultramétriques*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **300** (1985), no. 14, 459–462.

[12] B. Chiarellotto, A. Ciccioni, and N. Mazzari, *Cycle classes and the syntomic regulator*, preprint 2010, arXiv:1006.0132v2.

[13] Bruno Chiarellotto and Bernard Le Stum, *Sur la pureté de la cohomologie cristalline*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **326** (1998), no. 8, 961–963. MR 1649945 (99f:14024)

[14] Zacky Choo and Victor Snaith, *p-adic cocycles and their regulator maps*, J. K-theory, to appear.

[15] Alain Connes and Max Karoubi, *Caractère multiplicatif d'un module de Fredholm*, K-Theory **2** (1988), no. 3, 431–463.

[16] Brian Conrad, *Cohomological descent*, http://math.stanford.edu/ conrad/papers/hypercover.pdf, 2003.

[17] Pierre Deligne and Luc Illusie, *Relèvements modulo p^2 et décomposition du complexe de de Rham*, Invent. Math. **89** (1987), no. 2, 247–270. MR 894379 (88j:14029)

[18] Gerd Faltings, *Crystalline cohomology and p-adic Galois-representations*, Algebraic analysis, geometry, and number theory (Baltimore, MD, 1988), Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, MD, 1989, pp. 25–80. MR 1463696 (98k:14025)

[19] S. M. Gersten, *Higher K-theory of rings*, Algebraic K-theory, I: Higher K-theories (Proc. Conf. Seattle Res. Center, Battelle Memorial Inst., 1972), Springer, Berlin, 1973, pp. 3–42. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 341. MR MR0382398 (52 #3282)

[20] Michel Gros, *Régulateurs syntomiques et valeurs de fonctions L p-adiques. II*, Invent. Math. **115** (1994), no. 1, 61–79. MR 1248079 (95f:11044)

[21] Elmar Grosse-Klönne, *deRham-Komologie in der rigiden Analysis*, Preprintreihe des SFB 478 – Geometrische Strukturen in der Mathematik, Münster, Heft 39, 1999.

[22] ———, *Rigid analytic spaces with overconvergent structure sheaf*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **519** (2000), 73–95. MR 1739729 (2001b:14033)

[23] Nadia Hamida, *Description explicite du régulateur de Borel*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **330** (2000), no. 3, 169–172. MR MR1748302 (2001a:20073)

[24] ———, *Le régulateur p-adique*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **342** (2006), no. 11, 807–812.

[25] G. Harder, *Die Kohomologie S-arithmetischer Gruppen über Funktionenkörpern*, Invent. Math. **42** (1977), 135–175. MR 0473102 (57 #12780)

[26] Annette Huber, *Mixed motives and their realization in derived categories*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1604, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995. MR MR1439046 (98d:14030)

[27] Annette Huber and Guido Kings, *A p-adic analogue of the Borel regulator and the Bloch-Kato exponential map*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **10** (2011), no. 1, 149–190. MR 2749574

[28] Florian Ivorra, *Réalisations ℓ-adique des motifs mixtes*, Ph.D. thesis, Université Paris VI - Pierre er Marie Curie, 2005.

[29] Max Karoubi, *Connexions, courbures et classes caractéristiques en K-théorie algébrique*, Current trends in algebraic topology, Part 1 (London, Ont., 1981), CMS Conf. Proc., vol. 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1982, pp. 19–27. MR 686108 (84f:57013)

[30] ———, *Homologie cyclique et régulateurs en K-théorie algébrique*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **297** (1983), no. 10, 557–560.

[31] ———, *Homologie cyclique et K-théorie*, Astérisque (1987), no. 149, 1–147.

[32] Max Karoubi and Orlando Villamayor, *K-théorie algébrique et K-théorie topologique. I*, Math. Scand. **28** (1971), 265–307 (1972). MR MR0313360 (47 #1915)

[33] David Meredith, *Weak formal schemes*, Nagoya Math. J. **45** (1972), 1–38. MR MR0330167 (48 #8505)

[34] P. Monsky and G. Washnitzer, *Formal cohomology. I*, Ann. of Math. (2) **88** (1968), 181–217. MR MR0248141 (40 #1395)

[35] Wiesława Nizioł, *On the image of p-adic regulators*, Invent. Math. **127** (1997), no. 2, 375–400. MR 1427624 (98a:14031)

[36] ———, *Cohomology of crystalline smooth sheaves*, Compositio Math. **129** (2001), no. 2, 123–147. MR 1863299 (2003g:14027)

[37] Daniel Quillen, *On the cohomology and K-theory of the general linear groups over a finite field*, Ann. of Math. (2) **96** (1972), 552–586. MR MR0315016 (47 #3565)

[38] Peter Schneider, *Introduction to the Beĭlinson conjectures*, Beĭlinson's conjectures on special values of L-functions, Perspect. Math., vol. 4, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1988, pp. 1–35. MR MR944989 (89g:11053)

[39] Georg Tamme, *Comparison of Karoubi's regulator and the p-adic Borel regulator*, J. K-Theory, to appear.

[40] ———, *The relative Chern character and regulators*, Ph.D. thesis, Universität Regensburg, 2010, `http://epub.uni-regensburg.de/15595/`.

[41] ———, *Karoubi's relative Chern character and Beilinson's regulator*, preprint 2011, available at http://www.mathematik.uni-r.de/tamme/Publ/KaroubiBeil.pdf, 2011.

[42] R. W. Thomason, *Algebraic K-theory and étale cohomology*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **18** (1985), no. 3, 437–552. MR 826102 (87k:14016)

[43] M. van der Put and P. Schneider, *Points and topologies in rigid geometry*, Math. Ann. **302** (1995), no. 1, 81–103. MR 1329448 (96k:32070)

[44] Charles A. Weibel, *Homotopy algebraic K-theory*, Algebraic K-theory and algebraic number theory (Honolulu, HI, 1987), Contemp. Math., vol. 83, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989, pp. 461–488. MR MR991991 (90d:18006)

[45] ———, *An introduction to homological algebra*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 38, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.

FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT REGENSBURG, 93040 REGENSBURG, GERMANY
E-mail address: `georg.tamme@ur.de`
URL: `mathematik.uni-r.de/tamme`